arXiv:1207.5397v1 [math.FA] 23 Jul 2012

HOMOGENIZATION IN ALGEBRAS WITH MEAN VALUE

JEAN LOUIS WOUKENG

Abstract. In several works, the theory of strongly continuous groups is used to build a framework for solving stochastic homogenization problems. Following this idea, we construct a detailed and comprehensive theory of homogenization. This enables to solve homogenization problems in algebras with mean value, regardless of whether they are ergodic or not, thereby responding affirmatively to the question raised by Zhikov and Krivenko [V.V. Zhikov, E.V. Krivenko, Homogenization of singularly perturbed elliptic operators. Matem. Zametki, 33 (1983) 571-582 (english transl.: Math. Notes, 33 (1983) 294-300)] to know whether it is possible to homogenize problems in nonergodic algebras. We also state and prove a compactness result for Young measures in these algebras. As an important achievement we study the homogenization problem associated with a stochastic Ladyzhenskaya model for incompressible viscous flow, and we present and solve a few examples of homogenization problems related to nonergodic algebras.

1. Introduction and main results

The theory of strongly continuous N-parameter groups is a very important tool in solving partial differential equations (PDEs). In [51] (see also [11]), the authors used this theory to solve PDEs in spaces of almost periodic functions. In the stochastic homogenization theory, one constructs through a dynamical system, a strongly continuous N-parameters group. One then uses its infinitesimal generators to build up a framework for solving random homogenization problems. We refer, e.g., to the works [14, 26, 60] (see also [43]) for an exposition of this idea.

Given an algebra with mean value, the uniform continuity property of its elements allows the construction [on the generalized Besicovitch spaces associated to this algebra] of a strongly continuous N-parameters group. We therefore rely on the properties of this group to construct as in the stochastic case, a comprehensive and detailed framework for solving deterministic homogenization problems as well as homogenization problems related to stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs). The results obtained generalize the already existing ones, and provide more clarity and conciseness to the latter.

Dealing now with deterministic homogenization theory, it has been so far applied to solve only homogenization problems in ergodic algebras. In that direction we refer, e.g., to the papers [15, 30, 32, 33, 34, 54, 58, 59, 56, 31] in which only ergodic algebras are considered. In this paper we show how one can derive general homogenization results in algebras with mean value through the theory of strongly

---

Date: September, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28Axx; 46Gxx; 46J10, 60H15; Secondary 35B40; 28Bxx; 46T30.

Key words and phrases. Algebras with mean value; Young measures; homogenization; stochastic Ladyzhenskaya equations.

continuous groups of transformation. One very important achievement will be to work out the homogenization problems related to a stochastic Ladyzhenskaya model for incompressible non-Newtonian fluid, without help of any ergodicity assumption. For the sake of clarity, let us give here below some of our main results.

Theorem 1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$*. Let* X *be a normed closed and convex subset of* $W^{1,p}(Q)$*,* Q *being an open subset of* $\mathbb{R}^N$*. Assume* $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ *is a bounded sequence in* $W^{1,p}(Q)$ *such that* $u_\varepsilon \in X$ *for all* $\varepsilon \in E$*. Let* A *be an algebra wmv on* $\mathbb{R}^N_y$*. Assume further that* X *is compactly embedded in* $L^p(Q)$*. There exist a subsequence* E' *from* E *and a couple* $(u_0, u_1) \in X \times L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_{\#A})$ *such that as* $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$*,*

$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \text{ in } L^p(Q)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\overline{\partial} u_1}{\partial y_i} \text{ in } L^p(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma,\ 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

The difference between the above result and other already existing results is that no ergodicity assumption is required on the algebra with mean value A, in contrast to what had always been done so far. It should be noted that the proof of the above result in the ergodic case relies heavily on the ergodicity assumption made on A, see for instance the papers [15, 30, 34, 42]. In the general situation that we consider in this work, the proof is based on a de Rham type result formulated as follows:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text{Let } \mathbf{v} \in (\mathcal{B}^p_A)^N \text{ satisfying} \\ \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{g}} d\beta = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{div}} = \{\mathbf{f} \in (\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N))^N : \overline{\text{div}}_y \mathbf{f} = 0\}. \\ \text{Then there exists } u \in \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_{\#A} \text{ such that } \mathbf{v} = \overline{D}_y u.\end{array}\right.$$

As a consequence of the preceding result we have the following important result which is suitable for the homogenization of SPDEs in algebras with mean value.

Theorem 2. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$*. Let* Q *be an open subset in* $\mathbb{R}^N$*. Let* $A = A_y \odot A_\tau$ *be any product algebra with mean value on* $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$*. Finally, let* $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ *be a sequence of* $L^p(0,T; W^{1,p}_0(Q))$*-values random variables satisfying the following estimate:*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in E} \mathbb{E} \left\| u_\varepsilon \right\|^p_{L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}_0(Q))} < \infty.$$

Then there exist a subsequence E' *of* E *and a couple of random variables* (u_0, u_1) *with* $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega; L^p(0,T; W^{1,p}_0(Q)))$ *and* $u_1 \in L^p(\Omega; L^p(Q_T; \mathcal{B}^p_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_{\#A_y})))$ *such that, as* $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$*,*

$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \text{ in } L^p(Q_T \times \Omega)\text{-weak}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\overline{\partial} u_1}{\partial y_i} \text{ in } L^p(Q_T \times \Omega)\text{-weak } \Sigma\ (1 \leq i \leq N).$$

The next result is a compactness result related to Young measures in algebras with mean value. It reads as follows.

Theorem 3. *Let* Q *be an open bounded subset of* $\mathbb{R}^N$*. Let* $1 \leq p < \infty$*, and let* A *be an algebra wmv on* $\mathbb{R}^N_y$*. Finally let* $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ *be a bounded sequence in* $L^p(Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$*.*

There exist a subsequence E' *from* E *and a family* $\nu = (\nu_{x,s})_{x\in Q, s\in\Delta(A)} \in L^\infty(Q\times\Delta(A);\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^m))$ *such that, as* $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q \Phi\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}, u_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \to \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\lambda) d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda) d\beta(s) dx$$

for all $\Phi \in E_p$.

A special version of the above theorem can be found in [2]. Our approach is based on a result by Valadier [49] regarding the disintegration of measures. As expected the above results have a number of applications. By way of illustrating, we give two of them in this work. The most important one is the homogenization of a nonlinear SPDE. In this regard, we prove the following

Theorem 4. *Assume* $p \geq 3$. *For each* $\varepsilon > 0$ *let* $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ *be the unique solution of the following stochastic PDE:*

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + (P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{A}^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon))dt = \mathbf{f}dt + g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)dW, \ 0 < t < T \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0) = \mathbf{u}^0. \end{cases}$$

Under assumption (6.27) *(see Section* 6*), the sequence* $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ *converges in probability to* $\mathbf{u}_0$ *in* $L^2(Q_T)$ *where* $\mathbf{u}_0$ *is the unique strong probabilistic solution of the following problem:*

$$\begin{cases} d\mathbf{u}_0 + (-\operatorname{div}(\mathsf{m}D\mathbf{u}_0) - \operatorname{div} M(D\mathbf{u}_0) + B(\mathbf{u}_0))dt = \mathbf{f}dt + \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{u}_0)dW \\ \mathbf{u}_0(0) = \mathbf{u}^0. \end{cases}$$

In view of the above result, one might be tempted to believe that the homogenization process for SPDEs is summarized in the homogenization of its deterministic part, added to the average of its stochastic part. This is far to be true in general. Indeed, one can obtain after passing to the limit, a homogenized equation of a type completely different from that of the initial problem; see e.g., [53].

We can emphasize that our work is therefore the first one in which the homogenization theory for PDEs and SPDEs is carried out beyond the ergodic setting.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the key tools which will be used in the following sections, namely we apply the semigroup theory to the generalized Besicovitch spaces. Section 3 is devoted to the systematic study of the concept of Σ-convergence. We prove there some important compactness results. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 2 and we give some of its important corollaries. Finally, Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to the applications of the results of the earlier sections to homogenization theory.

Unless otherwise stated, vector spaces throughout are assumed to be complex vector spaces, and scalar functions are assumed to take complex values.

2. The semigroup theory applied to the generalized Besicovitch spaces

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Let A be an algebra with mean value (algebra wmv, in short) on $\mathbb{R}^N$ [26, 15, 42, 63], that is, A is a closed subalgebra of the $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebra of bounded uniformly continuous functions $BUC(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which contains the constants, is closed under complex conjugation ($\overline{u} \in A$ whenever $u \in A$), is translation invariant ($u(\cdot + a) \in A$ for any $u \in A$ and each $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$) and is such that each element possesses a mean value in the following sense:

(MV) For each $u \in A$, the sequence $(u^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$ (where $u^{\varepsilon}(x) = u(x/\varepsilon_1)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$) weakly $*$-converges in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to some constant function $M(u) \in \mathbb{C}$ (the complex field) as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\varepsilon)$ being a positive function of ε tending to zero with ε.

It is known that A (endowed with the sup norm topology) is a commutative $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebra with identity. We denote by $\Delta(A)$ the spectrum of A and by $\mathcal{G}$ the Gelfand transformation on A. We recall that $\Delta(A)$ (a subset of the topological dual A' of A) is the set of all nonzero multiplicative linear functionals on A, and $\mathcal{G}$ is the mapping of A into $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))$ such that $\mathcal{G}(u)(s) = \langle s, u\rangle$ $(s \in \Delta(A))$, where $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between A' and A. We endow $\Delta(A)$ with the relative weak$*$ topology on A'. Then using the well-known theorem of Stone (see e.g., either [28] or more precisely [19, Theorem IV.6.18, p. 274]) one can easily show that the spectrum $\Delta(A)$ is a compact topological space, and the Gelfand transformation $\mathcal{G}$ is an isometric $*$-isomorphism identifying A with $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))$ (the continuous functions on $\Delta(A)$) as $\mathcal{C}^*$-algebras. Next, since each element of A possesses a mean value, this yields an application $u \mapsto M(u)$ (denoted by M and called the mean value) which is a nonnegative continuous linear functional on A with $M(1) = 1$, and so provides us with a linear nonnegative functional $\psi \mapsto M_1(\psi) = M(\mathcal{G}^{-1}(\psi))$ defined on $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A)) = \mathcal{G}(A)$, which is clearly bounded. Therefore, by the Riesz-Markov theorem, $M_1(\psi)$ is representable by integration with respect to some Radon measure β (of total mass 1) in $\Delta(A)$, called the *M-measure* for A [30]. It is evident that we have

$$M(u) = \int_{\Delta(A)} \mathcal{G}(u)d\beta \text{ for } u \in A.$$

The spectrum of a Banach algebra is an abstract concept. However, for some special Banach algebras, it can be characterized as in the following

Proposition 1. *Let A be an algebra wmv. Assume A separates the points of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then $\Delta(A)$ is the Stone-Čech compactification of $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

Proof. For each $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ let us define an element ϕ_y of $\Delta(A)$ by setting $\phi_y(u) = u(y)$ for $u \in A$. Then the mapping $\phi : y \mapsto \phi_y$, from $\mathbb{R}^N$ into $\Delta(A)$, is continuous and has dense range. In fact as the topology in $\Delta(A)$ is the weak$*$ one and further the mappings $y \mapsto \phi_y(u) = u(y)$, $u \in A$, are continuous on $\mathbb{R}^N$, it follows that ϕ is continuous. Now assuming that $\phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is not dense in $\Delta(A)$ we derive the existence of a non empty open subset U of $\Delta(A)$ such that $U \cap \phi(\mathbb{R}^N) = \emptyset$. Then by Urysohn's lemma there exists $v \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))$ with $v \neq 0$ and $v|_{\Delta(A))\backslash U} = 0$ where $v|_{\Delta(A))\backslash U}$ denotes the restriction of v to $\Delta(A))\backslash U$. By the Gelfand representation theorem, $v = \mathcal{G}(u)$ for some $u \in A$. But then

$$u(y) = \phi_y(u) = \mathcal{G}(u)(\phi_y) = v(\phi_y) = 0$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, contradicting $u \neq 0$. Thus $\phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $\Delta(A)$.

Next, every f in A (viewed as element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$) extends continuously to $\Delta(A)$ in the sense that there exists $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))$ such that $\widehat{f}(\phi(y)) = f(y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (just take $\widehat{f} = \mathcal{G}(f)$). Finally assume that A separates the points of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then the mapping $\phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \phi(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a homeomorphism. In fact, we only need to prove that ϕ is injective. For that, let $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $y \neq z$; since A separates the points of $\mathbb{R}^N$, there exists a function $u \in A$ such that $u(y) \neq u(z)$, hence $\phi_y \neq \phi_z$, and our claim is justified. We therefore conclude that the couple $(\Delta(A), \phi)$ is the Stone-Čech compactification of $\mathbb{R}^N$. $\square$

The following result is classically known.

Proposition 2. (1) *Assume* $A = \mathcal{C}_{\text{per}}(Y)$ *is the algebra of* Y*-periodic continuous functions on* $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ $(Y = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^N)$. *Then its spectrum is the* N*-dimensional torus* $\mathbb{T}^N = \mathbb{R}^N/\mathbb{Z}^N$. (2) *Assume* $A = AP(\mathbb{R}^N_y)$ *is the algebra of all almost periodic continuous functions on* $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ *defined as the vector space consisting of all functions defined on* $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ *that are uniformly approximated by finite linear combinations of the functions in the set* $\{\exp(2i\pi k \cdot y) : k \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$. *Then its spectrum* $\Delta(AP(\mathbb{R}^N_y))$ *is a compact topological group homeomorphic to the Bohr compactification of* $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Next, to any algebra with mean value A are associated the following subspaces: $A^m = \{\psi \in \mathcal{C}^m(\mathbb{R}^N) : D^\alpha_y \psi \in A$ for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ with $|\alpha| \leq m\}$ (where $D^\alpha_y \psi = \partial^{|\alpha|}\psi / \partial y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial y_N^{\alpha_N}$). Endowed with the norm $|||u|||_m = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq m} \left\| D^\alpha_y \psi \right\|_\infty$, A^m is a Banach space. We also define the space A^∞ as the space of $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N_y)$ such that $D^\alpha_y \psi = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}\psi}{\partial y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial y_N^{\alpha_N}} \in A$ for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$. Endowed with a suitable locally convex topology defined by the family of norms $|||\cdot|||_m$, A^∞ is a Fréchet space.

Next, let B^p_A $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ denote the Besicovitch space associated to A, that is the closure of A with respect to the Besicovitch seminorm

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\limsup_{r \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r} |u(y)|^p \, dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

It is known that B^p_A is a complete seminormed vector space verifying $B^q_A \subset B^p_A$ for $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. From this last property one may naturally define the space B^∞_A as follows:

$$B^\infty_A = \{f \in \cap_{1 \leq p < \infty} B^p_A : \sup_{1 \leq p < \infty} \|f\|_p < \infty\}.$$

We endow B^∞_A with the seminorm $[f]_\infty = \sup_{1 \leq p < \infty} \|f\|_p$, which makes it a complete seminormed space. We recall that the spaces B^p_A $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ are not in general Fréchet spaces since they are not separated in general. The following properties are worth noticing [34, 42]:

(1) The Gelfand transformation $\mathcal{G} : A \to \mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))$ extends by continuity to a unique continuous linear mapping, still denoted by $\mathcal{G}$, of B^p_A into $L^p(\Delta(A))$, which in turn induces an isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_1$, of $B^p_A/\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{B}^p_A$ onto $L^p(\Delta(A))$ (where $\mathcal{N} = \{u \in B^p_A : \mathcal{G}(u) = 0\}$). Furthermore if $u \in B^p_A \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then $\mathcal{G}(u) \in L^\infty(\Delta(A))$ and $\|\mathcal{G}(u)\|_{L^\infty(\Delta(A))} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}$.

(2) The mean value M viewed as defined on A, extends by continuity to a positive continuous linear form (still denoted by M) on B^p_A satisfying $M(u) = \int_{\Delta(A)} \mathcal{G}(u) d\beta$ $(u \in B^p_A)$. Furthermore, $M(\tau_a u) = M(u)$ for each $u \in B^p_A$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $\tau_a u(z) = u(z + a)$ for almost all $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover for $u \in B^p_A$ we have $\|u\|_p = [M(|u|^p)]^{1/p}$.

2.2. **The semigroup theory.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We consider the N-parameter group of isometries $\{T(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ defined by

$$T(y) : \mathcal{B}^p_A \to \mathcal{B}^p_A,\ T(y)(u + \mathcal{N}) = \tau_y u + \mathcal{N} \text{ for } u \in B^p_A.$$

Since the elements of A are uniformly continuous, $\{T(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ is a strongly continuous group in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_A^p, \mathcal{B}_A^p)$ (the Banach space of continuous linear functionals of $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ into $\mathcal{B}_A^p$) in the sense of semigroups: $T(y)(u+\mathcal{N}) \to u+\mathcal{N}$ in $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ as $|y| \to 0$. To $\{T(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ is associated the following N-parameter group $\{\overline{T}(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned}&\overline{T}(y) : L^p(\Delta(A)) \to L^p(\Delta(A))\\&\overline{T}(y)\mathcal{G}_1(u+\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{G}_1(T(y)(u+\mathcal{N})) = \mathcal{G}_1(\tau_y u+\mathcal{N}) \text{ for } u \in B_A^p.\end{aligned}$$

The group $\{\overline{T}(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$ is also strongly continuous. The infinitesimal generator of $T(y)$ (resp. $\overline{T}(y)$) along the ith coordinate direction, denoted by $D_{i,p}$ (resp. $\partial_{i,p}$), is defined as

$$D_{i,p}u = \lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}\left(T(te_i)u - u\right) \text{ in } \mathcal{B}_A^p \text{ (resp. } \partial_{i,p}v = \lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}\left(\overline{T}(te_i)v - v\right) \text{ in } L^p(\Delta(A)))$$

where: here and henceforth, we have used the same letter u to denote the equivalence class of an element $u \in B_A^p$ in $\mathcal{B}_A^p$, $e_i = (\delta_{ij})_{1\leq j\leq N}$ (δ_{ij} being the Kronecker δ). The domain of $D_{i,p}$ (resp. $\partial_{i,p}$) in $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ (resp. $L^p(\Delta(A))$) is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_{i,p}$). By using the general theory of semigroups [19, Chap. VIII, Section 1], the following result holds.

Proposition 3. *$\mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_{i,p}$) is a vector subspace of $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ (resp. $L^p(\Delta(A))$), $D_{i,p} : \mathcal{D}_{i,p} \to \mathcal{B}_A^p$ (resp. $\partial_{i,p} : \mathcal{W}_{i,p} \to L^p(\Delta(A))$) is a linear operator, $\mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_{i,p}$) is dense in $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ (resp. $L^p(\Delta(A))$), and the graph of $D_{i,p}$ (resp. $\partial_{i,p}$) is closed in $\mathcal{B}_A^p \times \mathcal{B}_A^p$ (resp. $L^p(\Delta(A)) \times L^p(\Delta(A))$).*

In the sequel we denote by ϱ the canonical mapping of B_A^p onto $\mathcal{B}_A^p$, that is, $\varrho(u) = u+\mathcal{N}$ for $u \in B_A^p$. The following result allows us to see $D_{i,p}$ as a generalization of the usual partial derivative.

Lemma 1. *Let $1 \leq i \leq N$. If $u \in A^1$ then $\varrho(u) \in \mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ and*

$$D_{i,p}\varrho(u) = \varrho\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. By the mean value's inequality we have

$$|u(y+r) - u(y) - D_y u(y)\cdot r| \leq |r| \sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} |D_y u(y+tr) - D_y u(y)|.$$

Taking $r = te_i$ ($t > 0$) in the above inequality, we get

$$\left|t^{-1}\left(u(y+te_i) - u(y)\right) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}(y)\right| \leq \sup_{0\leq\zeta\leq 1}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}(y+\zeta te_i) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}(y)\right|,$$

and hence

$$\left\|t^{-1}\left(\tau_{te_i}u - u\right) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}\right\|_\infty \leq \sup_{0\leq\zeta\leq 1}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}(y+\zeta te_i) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}(y)\right|.$$

Since $\partial u/\partial y_i \in A$, it is uniformly continuous, so that

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\left\|t^{-1}\left(\tau_{te_i}u - u\right) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}\right\|_\infty = 0,$$

and, since the uniform norm is greater than the B_A^p-norm,

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\left\|t^{-1}\left(\tau_{te_i}\varrho(u) - \varrho(u)\right) - \varrho\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_i}\right)\right\|_p = 0,$$

that is, $D_{i,p}\varrho(u) = \varrho(\partial u/\partial y_i)$. □

Remark 1. From (2.1) we deduce that $D_{i,p}\circ\varrho=\varrho\circ\partial/\partial y_i$, which means that $D_{i,p}$ is a generalization of the usual partial derivative.

On can naturally define higher order derivatives by setting $D_p^\alpha=D_{1,p}^{\alpha_1}\circ\cdots\circ D_{N,p}^{\alpha_N}$ (resp. $\partial_p^\alpha=\partial_{1,p}^{\alpha_1}\circ\cdots\circ\partial_{N,p}^{\alpha_N}$) for $\alpha=(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_N)\in\mathbb{N}^N$ with $D_{i,p}^{\alpha_i}=D_{i,p}\circ\cdots\circ D_{i,p}$, α_i-times. Now, let

$$\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}=\cap_{i=1}^N\mathcal{D}_{i,p}=\{u\in\mathcal{B}_A^p : D_{i,p}u\in\mathcal{B}_A^p\ \forall 1\leq i\leq N\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)=\{u\in\mathcal{B}_A^\infty : D_\infty^\alpha u\in\mathcal{B}_A^\infty\ \forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^N\}.$$

It can be shown that $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $\mathcal{B}_A^p$, $1\leq p<\infty$. We also have that $\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}}=\left(\|u\|_p^p+\sum_{i=1}^N\|D_{i,p}u\|_p^p\right)^{1/p}\qquad(u\in\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p});$$

this comes from the fact that the graph of $D_{i,p}$ is closed.

The counter-part of the above properties also holds with

$$W^{1,p}(\Delta(A))=\cap_{i=1}^N\mathcal{W}_{i,p}\text{ in place of }\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A))=\{u\in L^\infty(\Delta(A)) : \partial_\infty^\alpha u\in L^\infty(\Delta(A))\ \forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^N\}\text{ in that of }\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

We have the following relation between $D_{i,p}$ and $\partial_{i,p}$.

Lemma 2. *Let $u\in\mathcal{D}_{i,p}$. Then $\mathcal{G}_1(u)\in\mathcal{W}_{i,p}$ and $\mathcal{G}_1(D_{i,p}u)=\partial_{i,p}\mathcal{G}_1(u)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|t^{-1}(T(te_i)u-u)-D_{i,p}u\right\|_p &= \left\|\mathcal{G}_1(t^{-1}(T(te_i)u-u))-\mathcal{G}_1(D_{i,p}u)\right\|_{L^p(\Delta(A))}\\ &= \left\|t^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_1(T(te_i)u)-\mathcal{G}_1(u))-\mathcal{G}_1(D_{i,p}u)\right\|_{L^p(\Delta(A))}\\ &= \left\|t^{-1}(\overline{T}(te_i)\mathcal{G}_1(u)-\mathcal{G}_1(u))-\mathcal{G}_1(D_{i,p}u)\right\|_{L^p(\Delta(A))}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $u\in\mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ we have $\left\|t^{-1}(T(te_i)u-u)-D_{i,p}u\right\|_p\to 0$ as $t\to 0$. Therefore

$$\left\|t^{-1}(\overline{T}(te_i)\mathcal{G}_1(u)-\mathcal{G}_1(u))-\mathcal{G}_1(D_{i,p}u)\right\|_{L^p(\Delta(A))}\to 0\text{ as }t\to 0,$$

so that $\mathcal{G}_1(u)\in\mathcal{W}_{i,p}$ with $\partial_{i,p}\mathcal{G}_1(u)=\mathcal{G}_1(D_{i,p}u)$. □

Now, let $u\in\mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ ($p\geq 1$, $1\leq i\leq N$). Then the inequality

$$\left\|t^{-1}(T(te_i)u-u)-D_{i,p}u\right\|_1\leq c\left\|t^{-1}(T(te_i)u-u)-D_{i,p}u\right\|_p$$

for a positive constant c independent of u and t, yields $D_{i,1}u=D_{i,p}u$, so that $D_{i,p}$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ of $D_{i,1}$. Therefore, for all $u\in\mathcal{D}_{i,\infty}$ we have $u\in\mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ ($p\geq 1$) and $D_{i,\infty}u=D_{i,p}u$ $\forall 1\leq i\leq N$. The following simple result will be useful.

Lemma 3. *We have $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)=\varrho(A^\infty)$.*

Proof. From (2.1) we have that, for $u\in\varrho(A^\infty)$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^N$, $D_\infty^\alpha u=\varrho(D_y^\alpha v)$ where $v\in A^\infty$ is such that $u=\varrho(v)$. This leads at once to $\varrho(A^\infty)\subset\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Conversely if $u\in\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $u\in\mathcal{B}_A^\infty$ with $D_\infty^\alpha u\in\mathcal{B}_A^\infty$ for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^N$, that is, $u=v+\mathcal{N}$ with $v\in B_A^\infty$ being such that $D_y^\alpha v\in B_A^\infty$ for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^N$, i.e., $v\in A^\infty$ since, as v is in $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with all its distributional derivatives, v is of class $\mathcal{C}^\infty$. Hence $u=v+\mathcal{N}$ with $v\in A^\infty$, so that $u\in\varrho(A^\infty)$. □

The following result holds.

Proposition 4. *The following assertions hold.*

(i) $\int_{\Delta(A)}\partial_\infty^\alpha \widehat{u}d\beta=0$ *for all* $u\in\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *and* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^N$*;*

(ii) $\int_{\Delta(A)}\partial_{i,p}\widehat{u}d\beta=0$ *for all* $u\in\mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ *and* $1\leq i\leq N$*;*

(iii) $D_{i,p}(u\phi)=uD_{i,\infty}\phi+\phi D_{i,p}u$ *for all* $(\phi,u)\in\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)\times\mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ *and* $1\leq i\leq N$*.*

Proof. (i) It suffices to check it for $\alpha=\alpha_i=(\delta_{ij})_{1\leq j\leq N}$, that is, for $1\leq i\leq N$,

$$\int_{\Delta(A)}\partial_{i,\infty}\widehat{u}d\beta=0 \text{ for all } u\in\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

But, as $u=\varrho(v)$ with $v\in A^\infty$ (see Lemma 2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Delta(A)}\partial_{i,\infty}\widehat{u}d\beta &= \int_{\Delta(A)}\partial_{i,\infty}\mathcal{G}_1(\varrho(v))d\beta=\int_{\Delta(A)}\mathcal{G}_1(D_{i,\infty}\varrho(v))d\beta\\ &= \int_{\Delta(A)}\mathcal{G}_1\left(\varrho\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i}\right)\right)d\beta=\int_{\Delta(A)}\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i}\right)d\beta=0\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is justified as in [30]. Whence (i).

(ii) Let $u\in\mathcal{D}_{i,p}$; there exists $u_n\in\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u-u_n\|_{\mathcal{D}_{i,p}}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\Delta(A)}\partial_{i,p}\widehat{u}d\beta\right| &= \|\partial_{i,p}\widehat{u}-\partial_{i,p}\widehat{u}_n\|_{L^1(\Delta(A))}\\ &\leq c\,\|\partial_{i,p}\widehat{u}-\partial_{i,p}\widehat{u}_n\|_{L^p(\Delta(A))}\\ &= c\,\|D_{i,p}u-D_{i,p}u_n\|_p\,,\end{aligned}$$

and the last term on the right-hand side of the above equality tends to zero as $n\to\infty$, since $D_{i,p}$ is continuous (in fact it is linear with a closed graph; see Proposition 3). This shows (ii). (iii) is easily verified. □

The formula (iii) in the above proposition leads to the equality

$$\int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{\phi}\partial_{i,p}\widehat{u}d\beta=-\int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{u}\partial_{i,\infty}\widehat{\phi}d\beta\ \ \forall(u,\phi)\in\mathcal{D}_{i,p}\times\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

This suggests us to define the concepts of distributions on A and of a weak derivative. Before we can do that, let us endow $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)=\varrho(A^\infty)$ with its natural topology defined by the family of norms $N_n(u)=\sup_{|\alpha|\leq n}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N}|D_\infty^\alpha u(y)|$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$. In this topology, $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a Fréchet space. We denote by $\mathcal{D}'_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the topological dual of $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We endow it with the strong dual topology. The elements of $\mathcal{D}'_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are called *the distributions on* A. One can also define the weak derivative of $f\in\mathcal{D}'_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as follows: for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^N$, $D^\alpha f$ stands for the distribution defined by the formula

$$\langle D^\alpha f,\phi\rangle=(-1)^{|\alpha|}\langle f,D_\infty^\alpha\phi\rangle \text{ for all } \phi\in\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ $(1\leq p<\infty)$, it is immediate that $\mathcal{B}_A^p\subset\mathcal{D}'_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with continuous embedding, so that one may define the weak derivative of any $f\in\mathcal{B}_A^p$, and it verifies the following functional equation:

$$\langle D^\alpha f,\phi\rangle=(-1)^{|\alpha|}\int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{f}\partial_\infty^\alpha\widehat{\phi}d\beta \text{ for all } \phi\in\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In particular, for $f \in \mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ we have

$$-\int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{f}\partial_{i,p}\widehat{\phi}d\beta = \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{\phi}\partial_{i,p}\widehat{f}d\beta \ \ \forall\phi \in \mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

so that we may identify $D_{i,p}f$ with $D^{\alpha_i}f$, $\alpha_i = (\delta_{ij})_{1\leq j\leq N}$. Conversely, if $f \in \mathcal{B}_A^p$ is such that there exists $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_A^p$ with $\langle D^{\alpha_i}f, \phi\rangle = -\int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{f_i}\widehat{\phi}d\beta$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $f \in \mathcal{D}_{i,p}$ and $D_{i,p}f = f_i$. We are therefore justified in saying that $\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}}$. The same result holds for $W^{1,p}(\Delta(A))$. Moreover it is a fact that $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(\Delta(A))$) is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}$ (resp. $W^{1,p}(\Delta(A))$).

Now, in order to deal with the homogenization theory, we need to define the space of correctors. Before we can do this, however, we need some further notions.

A function $f \in \mathcal{B}_A^1$ is said to be *invariant* if for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $T(y)f = f$. It is immediate that the above notion of invariance is the well-known one relative to dynamical systems. An algebra with mean value will therefore said to be *ergodic* if every invariant function f is constant in $\mathcal{B}_A^1$. As in [14] one can show that $f \in \mathcal{B}_A^1$ is invariant if and only if $D_{i,1}f = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. We denote by I_A^p the set of $f \in \mathcal{B}_A^1$ that are invariant. The set I_A^p is a closed vector subspace of $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ satisfying the following property:

$$f \in I_A^p \text{ if and only if } D_{i,p}f = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq N. \tag{2.2}$$

The above property is due to the fact that $D_{i,p}$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ of $D_{i,1}$. So the mapping

$$u \mapsto \|u\|_{\#,p} := \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|D_{i,p}u\|_p^p\right)^{1/p}$$

considered as defined on $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$, is a norm on the subspace $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)/I_A^p$ of $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ consisting of functions $u \in \mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that agree on I_A^p. Unfortunately, under this norm, $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)/I_A^p$ is a normed vector space which is in general not complete. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$ its completion with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\#,p}$. Moreover, as $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}$ and further $\|u\|_{\#,p} = 0$ if and only if $u \in I_A^p$, we have that $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$ is also the completion of $\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}/I_A^p$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\#,p}$. We denote by J_p the canonical embedding of $\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}/I_A^p$ into its completion $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$ (which allows us to viewed $\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}/I_A^p$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$). The following properties are due to the theory of completion of uniform spaces (see [13]):

(P$_1$) The gradient operator $D_p = (D_{1,p}, ..., D_{N,p}) : \mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)/I_A^p \to (\mathcal{B}_A^p)^N$ extends by continuity to a unique mapping $\overline{D}_p : \mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p} \to (\mathcal{B}_A^p)^N$ with the properties

$$D_{i,p} = \overline{D}_{i,p} \circ J_p$$

and

$$\|u\|_{\#,p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left\|\overline{D}_{i,p}u\right\|_p^p\right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}.$$

(P$_2$) The space $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)/I_A^p$ (and hence $\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}/I_A^p$) is dense in $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$: in fact by the embedding J_p, $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)/I_A^p$ is viewed as a subspace of $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$ (as said above), and by the theory of completion, $J_p(\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)/I_A^p) \equiv \mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)/I_A^p$ is dense in $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$.

Moreover the mapping $\overline{D}_p$ is an isometric embedding of $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$ onto a closed subspace of $(\mathcal{B}_A^p)^N$, so that $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$ is a reflexive Banach space. By duality we define the divergence operator $\operatorname{div}_{p'} : (\mathcal{B}_A^{p'})^N \to (\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p})'$ $(p' = p/(p-1))$ by

$$\langle \operatorname{div}_{p'} u, v\rangle = -\left\langle u, \overline{D}_p v\right\rangle \text{ for } v \in \mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p} \text{ and } u = (u_i) \in (\mathcal{B}_A^{p'})^N, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\left\langle u, \overline{D}_p v\right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_i \partial_{i,p} \widehat{v} d\beta$. The operator $\operatorname{div}_{p'}$ just defined extends the natural divergence operator defined in $\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ since $D_{i,p} f = \overline{D}_{i,p}(J_p f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ where here, we write f under the form $f = (f - \overline{f}) + \overline{f}$ with $\overline{f} \in I_A^p$ and we know that in that case, $D_{i,p} f = D_{i,p}(f - \overline{f})$, so that $\overline{D}_{i,p}(J_p f) = \overline{D}_{i,p}(J_p(f - \overline{f}))$.

Now if in (2.3) we take $u = D_{p'} w$ with $w \in \mathcal{B}_A^{p'}$ being such that $D_{p'} w \in (\mathcal{B}_A^{p'})^N$ then this allows us to define the Laplacian operator on $\mathcal{B}_A^{p'}$, denoted here by $\Delta_{p'}$, as follows:

$$\langle \Delta_{p'} w, v\rangle = \langle \operatorname{div}_{p'}(D_{p'} w), v\rangle = -\left\langle D_{p'} w, \overline{D}_p v\right\rangle \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}.$$

If in addition $v = J_p(\phi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)/I_A^p$ then $\langle \Delta_{p'} w, J_p(\phi)\rangle = -\langle D_{p'} w, D_p \phi\rangle$, so that, for $p = 2$, we get

$$\langle \Delta_2 w, J_2(\phi)\rangle = \langle w, \Delta_2 \phi\rangle \text{ for all } w \in \mathcal{B}_A^2 \text{ and } \phi \in \mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N)/I_A^2. \tag{2.4}$$

The following result is also immediate.

Proposition 5. *For $u \in A^\infty$ we have*

$$\Delta_p \varrho(u) = \varrho(\Delta_y u)$$

where Δ_y stands for the usual Laplacian operator on $\mathbb{R}_y^N$.

Remark 2. If the algebra A is ergodic, then the space $\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$ is just the one defined in [34, 42] as the completion of $\mathcal{B}_A^{1,p}/\mathbb{C} = \{u \in \mathcal{B}_A^{1,p} : M(u) = 0\}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\#,p}$. Indeed in that case the elements of I_A^p are constant functions.

The following result is a special version of a general result whose proof can be found in [43] (see also [14, Lemma 2.3 (b)]). We will therefore just give the outlines of the proof without details.

Proposition 6. *Let $\mathbf{v} \in (\mathcal{B}_A^p)^N$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{g}} d\beta = 0 \textit{ for all } \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{div}} = \{\mathbf{f} \in (\mathcal{D}_A(\mathbb{R}^N))^N : \operatorname{div}_{p'} \mathbf{f} = 0\}.$$

Then there exists $u \in \mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \overline{D}_p u$.

Proof. The result follows from the equality $(\ker(\operatorname{div}_{p'}))^\perp = R(\overline{D}_p)$ (where $R(\overline{D}_p)$ stands for the range of $\overline{D}_p$) through the following assertions: (1) $\operatorname{div}_{p'}$ is closed; (2) $(\operatorname{div}_{p'})^* = -\overline{D}_p$ where $(\operatorname{div}_{p'})^*$ is the adjoint operator of $\operatorname{div}_{p'}$; (3) $R(\overline{D}_p)$ is closed in $(\mathcal{B}_A^p)^N$, and finally, (4) $\mathbf{v}$ is orthogonal to the kernel of $\operatorname{div}_{p'}$. □

We end this subsection with some notations. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_A^p$. We know that $D^{\alpha_i} f$ exists (in the sense of distributions) and that $D^{\alpha_i} f = D_{i,p} f$ if $f \in \mathcal{D}_{i,p}$. So we can drop the subscript p and therefore denote $D_{i,p}$ (resp. $\partial_{i,p}$) by $\overline{\partial}/\partial y_i$ (resp.

∂_i). Thus, $\overline{D}_y$ will stand for the gradient operator $(\overline{\partial}/\partial y_i)_{1\leq i\leq N}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{div}}_y$ for the divergence operator div_p. We will also denote the operator $\overline{D}_{i,p}$ by $\overline{\partial}/\partial y_i$, and the canonical mapping J_p will be merely denote by J, so that in property (P$_1$), we will have

$$\frac{\overline{\partial}}{\partial y_i} = \frac{\overline{\partial}}{\partial y_i} \circ J \ \ \text{(in the above notations).} \tag{2.5}$$

This will lead to the notation $\overline{D}_p = (\overline{\partial}/\partial y_i)_{1\leq i\leq N}$. Finally, we will denote the Laplacian operator on $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ by $\overline{\Delta}_y$.

3. The Σ-convergence

This section deals with two concepts of Σ-convergence: the usual one [30] which is revisited, and its generalization to stochastic processes.

3.1. **The Σ-convergence revisited.** In all that follows Q is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ (integer $N \geq 1$) and A is an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}_y^N$. The notations are the one of the preceding section.

Definition 1. A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(Q)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is said to *weakly Σ-converge* in $L^p(Q)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_A^p)$ if as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\int_Q u_\varepsilon(x) f\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}\right) dx \to \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_0(x,s)\widehat{f}(x,s)dxd\beta \tag{3.1}$$

for every $f \in L^{p'}(Q;A)$ $(1/p' = 1 - 1/p)$, where $\widehat{u}_0 = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ u_0$ and $\widehat{f} = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ (\varrho \circ f) = \mathcal{G} \circ f$. We express this by writing $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ *in* $L^p(Q)$*-weak* Σ.

It is well-known that the weak Σ-convergence in L^p implies the weak convergence in L^p. Throughout the paper the letter E will denote any ordinary sequence $E = (\varepsilon_n)$ (integers $n \geq 0$) with $0 < \varepsilon_n \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Such a sequence will be termed a *fundamental sequence*. The following result is proved exactly as its homologue in [34, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 5. *Any bounded sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ in $L^p(Q)$ (where E is a fundamental sequence and $1 < p < \infty$) admits a subsequence which is weakly Σ-convergent in $L^p(Q)$.*

The next result can be proven as in [15, Theorem 4.10].

Theorem 6. *Any uniformly integrable sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ in $L^1(Q)$ admits a subsequence which is weakly Σ-convergent in $L^1(Q)$.*

We recall that a sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ in $L^1(Q)$ is said to be uniformly integrable if $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q)$ and further $\sup_{\varepsilon>0}\int_X |u_\varepsilon|\, dx \to 0$ as $|X| \to 0$ (X being an integrable set in Q with $|X|$ denoting the Lebesgue measure of X).

In order to deal with the convergence of a product of sequences we need to define the concept of strong Σ-convergence.

Definition 2. A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L^p(Q)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is said to *strongly Σ-converge* in $L^p(Q)$ to some $u_0 \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_A^p)$ if it is weakly Σ-convergent towards u_0 and further satisfies the following condition:

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(Q)} \to \|\widehat{u}_0\|_{L^p(Q\times\Delta(A))}. \tag{3.2}$$

We denote this by $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(Q)$-strong Σ.

Remark 3. (1) By the above definition, the uniqueness of the limit of such a sequence is ensured. (2) By [30] it is immediate that for any $u \in L^p(Q;A)$, the sequence $(u^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is strongly Σ-convergent to $\varrho(u)$.

The next result will be of capital interest in the homogenization process.

Theorem 7. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $r \geq 1$ be such that $1/r = 1/p + 1/q \leq 1$. Assume $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^q(Q)$ is weakly Σ-convergent in $L^q(Q)$ to some $u_0 \in L^q(Q;\mathcal{B}_A^q)$, and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(Q)$ is strongly Σ-convergent in $L^p(Q)$ to some $v_0 \in L^p(Q;\mathcal{B}_A^p)$. Then the sequence $(u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is weakly Σ-convergent in $L^r(Q)$ to $u_0 v_0$.*

Proof. We assume without lost of generality that our sequences are real values. This assumption is fully motivated by the fact that in general, almost only linear problems are of complex coefficients, and so in that case, the linearity permits to work with real coefficients. This being so, we will deeply make use of the following simple inequalities proved in [62]:

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq |a+tb|^p - |a|^p - pt\,|a|^{p-2}\,ab \leq c\,|t|^{1+s}\,(|a|^p + |b|^p) \\ \text{for each } |t| \leq 1 \text{ and for every } a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ where} \\ s = \min(p-1,1) > 0 \text{ and } c > 0 \text{ is independent of } a, b. \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

We proceed in two steps.

Step 1. Set $p' = p/(p-1)$, and let us first show that the sequence $z_\varepsilon = |v_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\,v_\varepsilon$ is weakly stochastically Σ-convergent to $|v_0|^{p-2}\,v_0$ in $L^{p'}(Q)$. To this end, let $z \in L^{p'}(Q;\mathcal{B}_A^{p'})$ denote the weak stochastic Σ-limit of $(z_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ in $L^{p'}(Q)$ (up to a subsequence if necessary; in fact it is easily seen that this sequence is bounded in $L^{p'}(Q)$). Let $\varphi \in L^p(Q;A)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{L^p(Q;A)} \leq 1$. We have by the second inequality in (3.3) that

$$\int_Q |v_\varepsilon + t\varphi^\varepsilon|^p\,dx \leq \int_Q |v_\varepsilon|^p\,dx + pt\int_Q z_\varepsilon \varphi^\varepsilon dx + c_1\,|t|^{1+s}$$

for $|t| \leq 1$, c_1 being a positive constant independent of ε (since the sequence $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is bounded in $L^p(Q)$). Taking the $\liminf_{E\ni\varepsilon\to 0}$ in the above inequality we get, by virtue of (3.2) (in Definition 2) and the lower semicontinuity property (see [54]) that

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} |\widehat{v}_0 + t\widehat{\varphi}|^p\,dxd\beta &\leq \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} |\widehat{v}_0|^p\,dxd\beta \\ &\quad + pt\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{z}\widehat{\varphi}dxd\beta + c_1\,|t|^{1+s}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the first inequality in (3.3) yields

$$\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} |\widehat{v}_0 + t\widehat{\varphi}|^p\,dxd\beta \geq \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} |\widehat{v}_0|^p\,dxd\beta + pt\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} |\widehat{v}_0|^{p-2}\,\widehat{v}_0 dxd\beta,$$

hence

$$pt\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} |\widehat{v}_0|^{p-2}\,\widehat{v}_0 dxd\beta \leq pt\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{z}\widehat{\varphi}dxd\beta + c_1\,|t|^{1+s}.$$

Now, taking in the above inequality $\varphi = \psi/\|\psi\|_{L^p(Q;A)}$ for any arbitrary $\psi \in L^p(Q;A)$ the same inequality holds for any arbitrary ψ in place of φ, which, together with the arbitrariness of the real number t in $|t| \leq 1$, gives $z = |v_0|^{p-2}\,v_0$.

Step 2. Now, let us establish the convergence result $u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \to u_0 v_0$ in $L^r(Q)$-weak Σ. First of all the sequence $(u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is bounded in $L^r(Q)$. Next, let $\varphi \in L^{r'}(Q;A)$ and set

$$\ell = \lim_{E\ni\varepsilon\to 0} \int_Q u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \varphi^\varepsilon dx \text{ (possibly up to a subsequence).}$$

We need to show that $\ell = \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_0\widehat{v}_0\widehat{\varphi}dxd\beta$. First and foremost we have $\varphi^\varepsilon \in L^{r'}(Q)$ and so, $u_\varepsilon\varphi^\varepsilon \in L^{p'}(Q)$ since $1/r' + 1/q = 1/p'$ and $u_\varepsilon \in L^q(Q)$. Thus, once again by the second inequality in (3.3) and keeping in mind the definition of z_ε in Step 1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \left|z_\varepsilon + tu_\varepsilon\varphi^\varepsilon\right|^{p'} dx &\leq \int_Q \left|z_\varepsilon\right|^{p'} dx + p't\int_Q \left|z_\varepsilon\right|^{p-2} z_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\varphi^\varepsilon dx + c_1\left|t\right|^{1+s} \\ &= \int_Q \left|v_\varepsilon\right|^p dx + p't\int_Q v_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\varphi^\varepsilon dx + c_1\left|t\right|^{1+s} \end{aligned}$$

since $v_\varepsilon = \left|z_\varepsilon\right|^{p'-2} z_\varepsilon$ and $\left|z_\varepsilon\right|^{p'} = \left|v_\varepsilon\right|^p$. On the other hand, one easily sees that the sequence $(u_\varepsilon\varphi^\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is weakly Σ-convergent to $u_0\varrho(\varphi)$ in $L^{p'}(Q)$, so that, passing to the limit in the above inequality, using the lower semicontinuity property, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \left|\widehat{z} + t\widehat{u}_0\widehat{\varphi}\right|^{p'} dxd\beta &\leq \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \left|\widehat{v}_0\right|^p dxd\beta + p't\ell + c_1\left|t\right|^{1+s} \\ &= \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \left|\widehat{z}\right|^{p'} dxd\beta + p't\ell + c_1\left|t\right|^{1+s}, \end{aligned}$$

since $z = \left|v_0\right|^{p-2} v_0$ (as shown in Step 1), and therefore, $\left|v_0\right|^p = \left|z\right|^{p'}$. Besides, we have by the first inequality in (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \left|\widehat{z} + t\widehat{u}_0\widehat{\varphi}\right|^{p'} dxd\beta &\geq \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \left|\widehat{z}\right|^{p'} dxd\beta + p't\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \left|\widehat{z}\right|^{p'-2} \widehat{z}\widehat{u}_0\widehat{\varphi}dxd\beta \\ &= \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \left|\widehat{z}\right|^{p'} dxd\beta + p't\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{v}_0\widehat{u}_0\widehat{\varphi}dxd\beta \end{aligned}$$

since $v_0 = \left|z\right|^{p'-2} z$. We are therefore led to

$$p't\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{v}_0\widehat{u}_0\widehat{\varphi}dxd\beta \leq p't\ell + c_1\left|t\right|^{1+s} \ \forall \left|t\right| \leq 1,$$

hence $\ell = \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{v}_0\widehat{u}_0\widehat{\varphi}dxd\beta$. □

The following result will be of great interest in practise. It is a mere consequence of the preceding theorem.

Corollary 1. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(Q)$ and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^{p'}(Q) \cap L^\infty(Q)$ ($1 < p < \infty$ and $p' = p/(p-1)$) be two sequences such that:*

(i) *$u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(Q)$-weak Σ;*
(ii) *$v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ in $L^{p'}(Q)$-strong Σ;*
(iii) *$(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(Q)$.*

Then $u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \to u_0 v_0$ in $L^p(Q)$-weak Σ.

Proof. By Theorem 7, the sequence $(u_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ Σ-converges towards u_0v_0 in $L^1(Q)$. Besides the same sequence is bounded in $L^p(Q)$ so that by the Theorem 5, it weakly Σ-converges in $L^p(Q)$ towards some $w_0 \in L^p(Q;\mathcal{B}_A^p)$. This gives as a result $w_0 = u_0 v_0$. $\square$

The strong Σ-convergence is a generalization of the strong convergence as one can easily see in the following result whose easy proof is left to the reader.

Proposition 7. *Let* $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(Q)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ *be a strongly convergent sequence in* $L^p(Q)$ *to some* $u_0 \in L^p(Q)$. *Then* $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ *strongly* Σ*-converges in* $L^p(Q)$ *towards* u_0.

In the first step of the proof of Theorem 7 we have proven the following assertion: If $v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ in $L^p(Q)$-strong Σ then $|v_\varepsilon|^{p-2} v_\varepsilon \to |v_0|^{p-2} v_0$ in $L^{p'}(Q)$-weak Σ. One can weaken the above strong convergence condition and obtain, under an additional weak convergence assumption, the following result: If $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(Q)$-weak Σ and $|u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} u_\varepsilon \to v_0$ in $L^{p'}(Q)$-weak Σ, then

$$\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_0 \widehat{v}_0 dxd\beta \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_Q |u_\varepsilon|^p \, dx.$$

Moreover if the above inequality holds as an equality, then $v_0 = |u_0|^{p-2} u_0$.

The above result is a particular case of a general situation stated in the following

Theorem 8. *Let* $(x, y, \lambda) \mapsto a(x, y, \lambda)$, *from* $\overline{Q}\times\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}^m$ *to* $\mathbb{R}^m$ *be a vector-valued function which is of Carathéodory's type, i.e.,* (i) *and* (ii) *below are satisfied:*

(i) $a(x, \cdot, \lambda)$ *is measurable for any* $(x, \lambda) \in \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^m$
(ii) $a(\cdot, y, \cdot)$ *is continuous for almost all* $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

and further satisfies the following conditions:

(iii) $|a(x, y, \lambda)| \leq c(|\lambda|^{p-1} + 1)$
(iv) $\big(a(x, y, \lambda) - a(x, y, \lambda')\big) \cdot (\lambda - \lambda') \geq 0$
(v) $a(x, \cdot, \lambda) \in (B_A^{p'})^m$

for all $x \in \overline{Q}$ *and all* $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{R}^m$, *where* c *is a positive constant independent of* (x, y, λ). *Finally let* $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E} \subset L^p(Q)^m$ *be a sequence which componentwise weakly* Σ*-converges towards* $v_0 \in L^p(Q;(\mathcal{B}_A^p)^m)$ *as* $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. *Then the sequence* $(a^\varepsilon(\cdot, v_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon\in E}$ *defined by* $a^\varepsilon(\cdot, v_\varepsilon)(x) = a(x, x/\varepsilon_1, v_\varepsilon(x))$ *for* $x \in Q$, *is weakly* Σ*-convergent in* $L^{p'}(Q)^m$ *(up to a subsequence) to some* $z_0 \in L^{p'}(Q;(\mathcal{B}_A^{p'})^m)$ *such that*

$$\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{z}_0 \widehat{v}_0 dxd\beta \leq \liminf_{E\ni\varepsilon\to 0} \int_Q a^\varepsilon(\cdot, v_\varepsilon) \cdot v_\varepsilon dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover if (3.4) *holds as an equality, then* $z_0(x, y) = a(x, y, v_0(x, y))$.

We will make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let* F_1 *and* F_2 *be two Banach spaces,* $(Y, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ *a measure space,* X *a* μ*-measurable subset of* Y, *and* $g : X \times F_1 \to F_2$ *a Carathéodory mapping. For each measurable function* $u : X \to F_1$, *let* $G(u)$ *be the measurable function* $x \mapsto g(x, u(x))$, *from* X *to* F_2. *If* $G : u \mapsto G(u)$ *maps* $L^p(X; F_1)$ *into* $L^r(X; F_2)$ *(*$1 \leq p, r < \infty$*) then* G *is continuous in the norm topology.*

Proof. A look at the proof of [21, Chap. IV, Proposition 1.1] shows that one can replace in that proof, the Borel subset Q of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by the measurable subset X of Y, E by F_1, F by F_2, and get readily our result. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 8. By (iii) the sequence $(a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, v_{\varepsilon}))_{\varepsilon \in E}$ is bounded in $L^{p'}(Q)^m$, thus there exists a subsequence E' from E and a function $z_0 \in L^{p'}(Q; (\mathcal{B}_A^{p'})^m)$ such that $a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, v_{\varepsilon}) \to z_0$ in $L^{p'}(Q)^m$-weak Σ as $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. Let us show (3.4). For that purpose, let $\psi \in [\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(Q) \otimes A]^m$ (which is dense in $L^p(Q; A)^m$); then the function $(x, y) \mapsto a(x, y, \psi(x, y))$ lies in $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}; B_A^{p',\infty})^m$. Indeed, as a result of (ii) the function $a(\cdot, y, \psi(\cdot, y))$ is continuous. Moreover for each fixed $x \in \overline{Q}$, $a(x, \cdot, \psi(x, \cdot)) \in (B_A^{p'})^m$: in fact $\psi(x, \cdot) \in (A)^m$, and it suffices to check that $a(x, \cdot, \phi) \in (B_A^{p'})^m$ for any $\phi \in (A)^m$. But since the function ϕ is bounded, let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a compact set such that $\phi(y) \in K$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Viewing $\lambda \mapsto a(x, \cdot, \lambda)$ as a function defined on K, we have that this function belongs to $\mathcal{C}(K; (B_A^{p'})^m)$ (use also hypothesis (v)), so that by the classical Stone-Weierstrass theorem one has $a(x, \cdot, \phi) \in (B_A^{p'})^m$; see either [57, Proposition 1] or [56, Proposition 3.1]. As a result, we end up with the fact that the function $(x, y) \mapsto a(x, y, \psi(x, y))$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}; B_A^{p'})^m$, hence to $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}; B_A^{p',\infty})^m$ where $B_A^{p',\infty} = B_A^{p'} \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_y^N)$.

We now use (iv) to get

$$\int_Q (a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, v_{\varepsilon}) - a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, \psi^{\varepsilon})) \cdot (v_{\varepsilon} - \psi^{\varepsilon}) dx \geq 0$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, v_{\varepsilon}) \cdot v_{\varepsilon} dx \quad &\geq \quad \int_Q a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, v_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \psi_{\varepsilon} dx + \int_Q a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, \psi_{\varepsilon}) \cdot v_{\varepsilon} dx \\ &\quad - \int_Q a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, \psi_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \psi_{\varepsilon} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the $\liminf_{E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0}$ of the both sides of the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0} \int_Q a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, v_{\varepsilon}) \cdot v_{\varepsilon} dx \quad &\geq \quad \iint_{Q \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{z}_0 \cdot \widehat{\psi} dx d\beta + \iint_{Q \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{a}(\cdot, \widehat{\psi}) \cdot \widehat{v}_0 dx d\beta \\ &\quad - \iint_{Q \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{a}(\cdot, \widehat{\psi}) \cdot \widehat{\psi} dx d\beta \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where: for the first integral on the right-hand side of (3.5), we have used the definition of the weak Σ-convergence for the sequence $(a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, v_{\varepsilon}))_{\varepsilon}$, for the second integral, we have used the definition of the weak Σ-convergence of$(v_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$ associated with the fact that (3.1) also holds for test functions in $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}; B_A^{p',\infty})$ and so by taking $a(\cdot, \psi)$ as a test function, and finally for the last integral, we use the same argument as for the preceding integral. Therefore, subtracting $\iint_{Q \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{z}_0 \cdot \widehat{v}_0 dx d\beta$ from each member of (3.5), we end up with

$$\begin{aligned} &\liminf_{E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0} \textstyle\int_Q a^{\varepsilon}(\cdot, v_{\varepsilon}) \cdot v_{\varepsilon} dx - \iint_{Q \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{z}_0 \cdot \widehat{v}_0 dx d\beta \geq \\ &- \textstyle\iint_{Q \times \Delta(A)} \left(\widehat{z}_0 - \widehat{a}(\cdot, \widehat{\psi}) \right) \cdot (\widehat{v}_0 - \widehat{\psi}) dx d\beta \quad \forall \psi \in [\mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(Q) \otimes A]^m. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

The right-hand side of (3.6) is of the form $g(x, s, \widehat{\psi}(x, s))$ and, due to the fact that $\widehat{z}_0 \in L^{p'}(Q \times \Delta(A))^m$, one easily deduces from (iv) that $g(x, s, \widehat{\psi}) \in L^1(Q \times \Delta(A))$ for any $\widehat{\psi} \in L^p(Q \times \Delta(A))^m$, so that the operator G defined here as in Lemma 4 (by taking there $X = Q \times \Delta(A)$, $F_1 = L^p(Q \times \Delta(A))^m$, $F_2 = L^1(Q \times \Delta(A))$) maps $L^p(X; F_1)$ into $L^1(X; F_2)$. In view of Lemma 4, G is continuous under the norm topology. As a result, the inequality (3.6) holds for any $\widehat{\psi} \in L^p(Q \times \Delta(A))^m$ (that is for any $\psi \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_A^p)^m$). Hence taking in (3.6) $\psi = v_0$ we readily get (3.4).

For the last part of the theorem, assuming that (3.4) is actually an equality, we return to (3.6) and take there $\psi = v_0 + tw$, $w \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_A^p)^m$ being arbitrarily fixed and $t > 0$. Then we get

$$\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} (\widehat{z}_0 - \widehat{a}(\cdot, \widehat{v}_0 + t\widehat{w})) \cdot \widehat{w} dx d\beta \leq 0 \quad \forall w \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_A^p)^m.$$

Letting $t \to 0$, and then changing w for $-w$, we end up with

$$\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} (\widehat{z}_0 - \widehat{a}(\cdot, \widehat{v}_0)) \cdot \widehat{w} dx d\beta = 0 \quad \forall w \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_A^p)^m,$$

which implies $z_0 = a(\cdot, v_0)$. □

As was said before the statement of Theorem 8, if we take $a(x, y, \lambda) = |\lambda|^{p-2}\lambda$ and $m = 1$, then we arrive at the claimed conclusion by the above theorem. The next result gives the characterization of the Σ-limit of sequences involving gradients.

Theorem 9. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let X be a normed closed and convex subset of $W^{1,p}(Q)$, Q being an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Assume $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}(Q)$ such that $u_\varepsilon \in X$ for all $\varepsilon \in E$. Let A be an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}_y^N$. Assume further that X is compactly embedded in $L^p(Q)$. There exist a subsequence E' from E and a couple $(u_0, u_1) \in X \times L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p})$ such that as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,*

- (i) *$u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(Q)$;*
- (ii) *$\partial u_\varepsilon/\partial x_i \to \partial u_0/\partial x_i + \overline{\partial} u_1/\partial y_i$ in $L^p(Q)$-weak Σ, $1 \leq i \leq N$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5 and the reflexivity of $W^{1,p}(Q)$, there exist a subsequence E' from E, a function $u_0 \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_A^p)$, $u \in W^{1,p}(Q)$ and a vector function $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_{1\leq i\leq N} \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_A^p)^N$ such that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$, we have $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $L^p(Q)$-weak Σ, $u_\varepsilon \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(Q)$-weak and $Du_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{v}$ in $L^p(Q)^N$-weak Σ. At this level we must check that (a) $u_0 = u \in X$ and (b) there exists a function $u_1 \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p})$ such that $\mathbf{v} = Du_0 + \overline{D}_y u_1$.

Let us first prove (a). First and foremost, since X is normed closed and convex, it is weakly closed, so that $u \in X$ and $u_\varepsilon \to u$ weakly in X as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. Therefore, because of the compactness of the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^p(Q)$ we have $u_\varepsilon \to u$ in $L^p(Q)$, and so $u_\varepsilon \to u$ in $L^p(Q)$-weak Σ. We deduce that $u = u_0$, that is $u_0 \in L^p(Q) \cap X$.

(b). Let us derive the existence of u_1. Let $\Phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi(x)\Psi(x/\varepsilon_1)$ $(x \in Q)$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)$ and $\Psi = (\psi_j)_{1\leq j\leq N}$ with $\varrho^N(\Psi) := (\varrho(\psi_j))_{1\leq j\leq N} \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{div}}$ (i.e. $\overline{\text{div}}_y[\varrho^N(\Psi)] = 0$). Clearly

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \int_Q \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \varphi \psi_j^\varepsilon dx = -\sum_{j=1}^N \int_Q u_\varepsilon \psi_j^\varepsilon \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} dx$$

where $\psi_j^\varepsilon(x) = \psi_j(x/\varepsilon_1)$. Passing to the limit when $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$ yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{v}_j \varphi \widehat{\psi}_j dx d\beta = -\sum_{j=1}^N \iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} u_0 \widehat{\psi}_j \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} dx d\beta,$$

or equivalently,

$$\iint_{Q\times\Delta(A)} (\widehat{\mathbf{v}} - Du_0)\cdot\widehat{\Psi}\varphi dxd\beta = 0,$$

and so, as φ is arbitrarily fixed in $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)$,

$$\int_{\Delta(A)} (\widehat{\mathbf{v}}(x,s) - Du_0(x))\cdot\widehat{\Psi}(s)d\beta = 0 \ \ \forall\Psi\in\mathcal{V}_{\text{div}}, \text{ a.e. } x\in Q.$$

Therefore, the Proposition 6 provides us with a function $u_1(x,\cdot)\in\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p}$ such that

$$\mathbf{v}(x,\cdot) - Du_0(x) = \overline{D}_y u_1(x,\cdot) \text{ for a.e. } x\in Q.$$

This yields the existence of a function $u_1 : x\mapsto u_1(x,\cdot)$ lying in $L^p(Q;\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p})$ such that $\mathbf{v} = Du_0 + \overline{D}_y u_1$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 4. The conclusion of Theorem 9 generalizes all the results so far existing in the framework of deterministic homogenization theory. In fact, Theorem 9 works in all the environments: the ergodic one and the non-ergodic one since we do not assume any ergodicity property on the algebra A.

The Σ-convergence method also applies to time dependent functions. To see this, let A_y and A_τ be two algebras wmv on $\mathbb{R}_y^N$ and $\mathbb{R}_\tau$ respectively. Let $A = A_y\odot A_\tau$ be their product [30, 34, 59]. We know that A is the closure in $BUC(\mathbb{R}_{y,\tau}^{N+1})$ of the tensor product $A_y\otimes A_\tau$. Points in $\Delta(A_y)$ and $\Delta(A_\tau)$ are denoted respectively by s and s_0. We know that $\Delta(A) = \Delta(A_y)\times\Delta(A_\tau)$ (Cartesian product) and further if β (resp. β_y, β_τ) is the M-measure for A (resp. A_y, A_τ) then $\beta = \beta_y\otimes\beta_\tau$; the last equality follows in an obvious way by the density of $A_y\otimes A_\tau$ in A and by the Fubini's theorem.

Now, let $0 < T < \infty$ and let Q be an open subset in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Set $Q_T = Q\times(0,T)$. We have the following well-known time-dependent version of the Σ-convergence.

Definition 3. A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}\subset L^p(Q_T)$ $(1\leq p<\infty)$ is said to *weakly Σ-converge* in $L^p(Q_T)$ to some $u_0\in L^p(Q_T;\mathcal{B}_A^p)$ if as $\varepsilon\to0$, we have

$$\int_{Q_T} u_\varepsilon(x,t) f\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1},\frac{t}{\varepsilon_2}\right) dxdt \to \iint_{Q_T\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_0(x,t,s,s_0)\widehat{f}(x,t,s,s_0)dxdtd\beta$$

for every $f\in L^{p'}(Q_T;A)$ $(1/p' = 1-1/p)$.

In Definition 3 we assume ε_1 and ε_2 to be any sequences of positive real numbers such that $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\to0$ when $\varepsilon\to0$. We recall here that, as before, $\widehat{u}_0 = \mathcal{G}_1\circ u_0$ and $\widehat{f} = \mathcal{G}\circ f$, $\mathcal{G}_1$ being the isometric isomorphism sending $\mathcal{B}_A^p$ onto $L^p(\Delta(A))$ and $\mathcal{G}$, the Gelfand transformation on A.

The homologue of Theorem 9 is valid mutatis mutandis in this context. In order to state this, we need further preliminaries. For $1<p<\infty$, set

$$\mathcal{V}^p = \{v\in L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(Q)) : v' = \partial v/\partial t\in L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}(Q))\},$$

a Banach space with the norm $\|v\|_{\mathcal{V}^p} = \|v\|_{L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(Q))} + \|v'\|_{L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}(Q))}$ $(v\in\mathcal{V}^p)$ with further the property that $\mathcal{V}^p$ (for $p\geq2$) is, continuously embedded in the space $\mathcal{C}([0,T];L^2(Q))$ and compactly embedded in the space $L^p(Q_T)$ (for $1<p<\infty$). With all that in mind, we have the following important result whose proof is copied on that of Theorem 9, and is therefore omitted.

Theorem 10. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$. *Let* Q *be an open subset in* $\mathbb{R}^N$. *Let* $A = A_y \odot A_\tau$ *be as above. Let* $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ *be a bounded sequence in* $\mathcal{V}^p$. *There exist a subsequence* E' *from* E *and a couple* $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{V}^p \times L^p(Q_T; \mathcal{B}^p_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_{\#A_y}))$ *such that, as* $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \textit{ in } \mathcal{V}^p\textit{-weak}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\overline{\partial} u_1}{\partial y_j} \textit{ in } L^p(Q_T)\textit{-weak } \Sigma \ (1 \leq j \leq N).$$

3.2. **The Σ-convergence for stochastic processes.** In order to deal with homogenization problems related to *stochastic* PDEs we need to give a suitable notion of Σ-convergence adapted to stochastic processes. In all that follows, Q and T are as above.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. The expectation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ will throughout be denoted by $\mathbb{E}$. Let us first recall the definition of the Banach space of bounded $\mathcal{F}$-measurable functions. Denoting by $F(\Omega)$ the Banach space of all bounded functions $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ (with the sup norm), we define $B(\Omega)$ as the closure in $F(\Omega)$ of the vector space $H(\Omega)$ consisting of all finite linear combinations of the characteristic functions 1_X of sets $X \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is an σ-algebra, $B(\Omega)$ is the Banach space of all bounded $\mathcal{F}$-measurable functions. Likewise we define the space $B(\Omega; Z)$ of all bounded $(\mathcal{F}, B_Z)$-measurable functions $f : \Omega \to Z$, where Z is a Banach space endowed with the σ-algebra of Borelians B_Z. The tensor product $B(\Omega) \otimes Z$ is a dense subspace of $B(\Omega; Z)$: this follows from the obvious fact that $B(\Omega)$ can be viewed as a space of continuous functions over the *gamma-compactification* [61] of the measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$, which is a compact topological space. Next, for X a Banach space, we denote by $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; X)$ the space of X-valued random variables u such that $\|u\|_X$ is $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$-integrable.

This being so, we still recall some preliminary as in the preceding subsection. Let A_y and A_τ be two algebras wmv on $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ and $\mathbb{R}_\tau$ respectively, and let $A = A_y \odot A_\tau$ be their product. We denote by $\Delta(A_y)$ (resp. $\Delta(A_\tau)$, $\Delta(A)$) the spectrum of A_y (resp. A_τ, A). The same letter $\mathcal{G}$ will denote the Gelfand transformation on A_y, A_τ and A, as well. Points in $\Delta(A_y)$ (resp. $\Delta(A_\tau)$) are denoted by s (resp. s_0). The M-measure on the compact space $\Delta(A_y)$ (resp. $\Delta(A_\tau)$) is denoted by β_y (resp. β_τ). We know that $\Delta(A) = \Delta(A_y) \times \Delta(A_\tau)$ and the M-measure on $\Delta(A)$ is the product measure $\beta = \beta_y \otimes \beta_\tau$. Points in Ω are as usual denoted by ω. Unless otherwise stated, random variables will always be considered on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. The other notations are as before this subsection.

Definition 4. A sequence of random variables $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; L^p(Q_T))$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) is said to *weakly* Σ*-converge* in $L^p(Q_T \times \Omega)$ to some random variable $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; L^p(Q_T; \mathcal{B}^p_A))$ if as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \int_{Q_T \times \Omega} u_\varepsilon(x, t, \omega) f\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \omega\right) dx dt d\mathbb{P} \\ \qquad \to \iint_{Q_T \times \Omega \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_0(x, t, s, s_0, \omega) \widehat{f}(x, t, s, s_0, \omega) dx dt d\mathbb{P} d\beta \end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

for every $f \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; L^{p'}(Q_T; A))$ $(1/p' = 1 - 1/p)$, where $\widehat{u}_0 = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ u_0$ and $\widehat{f} = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ (\varrho \circ f) = \mathcal{G} \circ f$. We express this by writing $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ *in* $L^p(Q_T \times \Omega)$*-weak* Σ.

Remark 5. The above weak Σ-convergence in $L^p(Q_T \times \Omega)$ implies the weak convergence in $L^p(Q_T \times \Omega)$. One can show as in the usual setting of Σ-convergence method that each $f \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; L^p(Q_T; A))$ weakly Σ-converges to $\varrho \circ f$. Definition 4 generalizes Definitions 1 and 3 straightforwardly.

In order to simplify the notation, we will henceforth denote $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; X)$ merely by $L^p(\Omega; X)$ if it is understood from the context and there is no fear of confusion. Assume for a while that $p = 2$. Then the definition above can be formally motivated by the following fact: using the chaos expansion of u_ε and f we get $u_\varepsilon(x, t, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{\varepsilon,j}(x, t)\Phi_j(\omega)$ and $f(x, t, y, \tau, \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x, t, y, \tau)\Phi_k(\omega)$ where $u_{\varepsilon,j} \in L^2(Q_T)$ and $f_k \in L^2(Q_T; A)$, so that

$$\int_{Q_T \times \Omega} u_\varepsilon(x, t, \omega) f\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \omega\right) dxdtd\mathbb{P}$$

can be formally written as

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \int_\Omega \Phi_j(\omega)\Phi_k(\omega)d\mathbb{P} \int_{Q_T} u_{\varepsilon,j}(x, t) f_k\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) dxdt,$$

and by the usual Σ-convergence method (see Definition 3), as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_{Q_T} u_{\varepsilon,j}(x, t) f_k\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) dxdt \to \iint_{Q_T \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{u}_{0,j}(x, t, s, s_0) \widehat{f}_k\,(x, t, s, s_0)\, dxdtd\beta.$$

Hence, by setting

$$\widehat{u}_0(x, t, s, s_0, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \widehat{u}_{0,j}(x, t, s, s_0)\Phi_j(\omega);\ \widehat{f}\,(x, t, s, s_0, \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}_k\,(x, t, s, s_0)\,\Phi_k(\omega)$$

we get (3.7). This is what can formally motivate our definition.

As in the preceding subsection, all the results together with their proofs can be carried in the present context, mutatis mutandis. We just state a few.

Theorem 11. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E} \subset L^p(\Omega; L^p(Q_T))$ be a sequence of random variables verifying the following boundedness condition:*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in E} \mathbb{E} \left\| u_\varepsilon \right\|^p_{L^p(Q_T)} < \infty.$$

Then there exists a subsequence E' from E such that the sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E'}$ is weakly Σ-convergent in $L^p(Q_T \times \Omega)$.

Theorem 12. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E} \subset L^p(\Omega; L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(Q)))$ be a sequence of random variables which satisfies the following estimate:*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in E} \mathbb{E} \left\| u_\varepsilon \right\|^p_{L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(Q))} < \infty.$$

Then there exist a subsequence E' of E and a couple of random variables (u_0, u_1) with $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega; L^p(0, T; W_0^{1,p}(Q)))$ and $u_1 \in L^p(\Omega; L^p(Q_T; \mathcal{B}^p_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_{\#A_y})))$ such that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \textit{ in } L^p(Q_T \times \Omega)\textit{-weak}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\overline{\partial} u_1}{\partial y_i} \textit{ in } L^p(Q_T \times \Omega)\textit{-weak } \Sigma,\ 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Theorem 12 will be very useful in the last section of this work.

4. YOUNG MEASURES GENERATED BY AN ALGEBRA WITH MEAN VALUE

In this section we assume that the algebra A is separable. This assumption is not fundamental, but it is made just to simplify the presentation of the foregoing section.

Let E_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) denote the space of continuous functions $\Phi : \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(C1) $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^m; A)$
(C2) $\lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(x,y,\lambda)}{1+|\lambda|^p}$ exists uniformly in $(x, y) \in \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

Let $K = \widehat{\mathbb{R}^m}$ be the Alexandroff one point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^m$: $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^m} = \mathbb{R}^m \cup \{\infty\}$. Each element Φ of E_p extends to a unique element Ψ of $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q} \times K; A)$ as follows:

$$\Psi(x, y, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Phi(x,y,\lambda)}{1+|\lambda|^p} \text{ if } (x, y, \lambda) \in \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m \\ \lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} \frac{\Phi(x,y,\lambda)}{1+|\lambda|^p} \text{ if } \lambda = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Besides Ψ verifies the property that there exists $c > 0$ (depending on Φ) such that

$$|\Psi(x, y, \lambda)| \leq c(1 + |\lambda|^p) \quad \forall (x, y, \lambda) \in \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times K.$$

On the other hand, the Gelfand transformation $\mathcal{G}$ being an isometric isomorphism of A onto $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))$, we construct an isometric isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q} \times K; A)$ onto $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q} \times K; \mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))) = \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q} \times \Delta(A) \times K)$ (which is separable), so that E_p is separable.

Equipped with the norm

$$\|\Phi\| = \sup_{x \in \overline{Q}, y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m} \frac{|\Phi(x, y, \lambda)|}{1 + |\lambda|^p},$$

E_p is a Banach space. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the space of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^m$. This being so, we have the following result.

Theorem 13. *Let Q be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let A be an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}^N_y$. Finally let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in E}$ (E being a fundamental sequence) be a bounded sequence in $L^p(Q; \mathbb{R}^m)$. There exist a subsequence E' from E and a family $\nu = (\nu_{x,s})_{x \in Q, s \in \Delta(A)} \in L^\infty(Q \times \Delta(A); \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^m))$ such that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,*

$$\int_Q \Phi\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}, u_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \to \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\Phi}(x, s, \lambda) d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda) d\beta(s) dx \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\Phi \in E_p$.

Proof. For fixed $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q} \times K; A))$, let us define μ_ε ($\varepsilon \in E$) as follows:

$$\left\langle \mu_\varepsilon, \widehat{\Psi}_0 \right\rangle = \int_Q \Psi_0 \left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}, u_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx.$$

We have

$$\left| \left\langle \mu_\varepsilon, \widehat{\Psi}_0 \right\rangle \right| \leq \int_Q \sup_{y,\lambda} |\Psi_0 (x, y, \lambda)| \, dx.$$

$\mathcal{G}$ being an isometric isomorphism of A onto $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))$ and as $\Psi_0 (x, \cdot, \lambda) \in A$, we have $\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} |\Psi_0 (x, y, \lambda)| = \sup_{s \in \Delta(A)} \left|\widehat{\Psi}_0 (x, s, \lambda)\right|$, hence

$$\left| \left\langle \mu_\varepsilon, \widehat{\Psi}_0 \right\rangle \right| \leq \int_Q \sup_{s,\lambda} \left| \widehat{\Psi}_0 (x, s, \lambda) \right| dx = \left\| \widehat{\Psi}_0 \right\|_{L^1(Q;\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A) \times K))}.$$

Thus μ_ε (continuous linear functional on the subspace $\{t\widehat{\Psi}_0 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of $L^1(Q;\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A)\times K)))$ extends (in a non unique way) to a continuous linear form on $L^1(Q;\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A)\times K))$, denoted by $\widetilde{\mu}_\varepsilon$, and satisfying

$$\|\widetilde{\mu}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(Q;\mathcal{M}(\Delta(A)\times K))} \leq 1 \quad (\varepsilon \in E)$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\Delta(A)\times K)$ (the dual space of $\mathcal{C}(\Delta(A)\times K)$) is the space of Radon measures defined on the compact space $\Delta(A)\times K$. Because of the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, there exist a subsequence $E'(\Psi_0)$ of E and some $\mu \in L^\infty(Q;\mathcal{M}(\Delta(A)\times K))$ such that, as $E'(\Psi_0) \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\widetilde{\mu}_\varepsilon \to \mu \text{ in } L^\infty(Q;\mathcal{M}(\Delta(A)\times K))\text{-weak} * .$$

In particular we have, as $E'(\Psi_0) \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q \Psi_0\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}, u_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \to \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)\times K} \widehat{\Psi}_0(x,s,\lambda)d\mu_x(s,\lambda)dx. \tag{4.2}$$

E_p being separable, let $\{\Psi_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable dense subset of E_p. For simplification we put $E = (\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. We have in hand a family $\{E'(\Psi_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of subsequences of E obtained by repeating the argument used to get (4.2) and satisfying the following relation: $E'(\Psi_{k+1}) \subset E'(\Psi_k)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By the well-known diagonal process we construct a subsequence E' from the family $\{E'(\Psi_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfying, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q \Psi_k\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}, u_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \to \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)\times K} \widehat{\Psi}_k(x,s,\lambda)d\mu_x(s,\lambda)dx \;\forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.3}$$

By a mere routine we get (4.3) by replacing Ψ_k with any Ψ in E_p. It is evident that, for a.e. $x \in Q$, μ_x is a probability measure: in fact, taking in (4.3) $\Psi \equiv 1$, we are led (by the uniqueness of the limit) to $\int_{\Delta(A)\times K} d\mu_x(s,\lambda) = 1$.

Next, using the same argument as the one used in the proof of [48, Theorem 7] we see that the boundedness of $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ in $L^p(Q;\mathbb{R}^m)$ implies that μ (thus constructed) is supported by $Q\times\Delta(A)\times\mathbb{R}^m$, so that

$$\int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)\times K} \widehat{\Psi}(x,s,\lambda)d\mu_x(s,\lambda)dx = \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)\times\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\Psi}(x,s,\lambda)d\mu_x(s,\lambda)dx$$

for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q};\mathcal{C}(K;A))$. Thus, by [48, Theorem 3], μ is the weak $*$-limit of $(\widetilde{\mu}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E'}$ in $L^\infty(Q;\mathcal{M}(\Delta(A)\times\mathbb{R}^m))$ and thereby, defined a family of probability measures $(\mu_x)_{x\in Q}$ with $\mu_x \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta(A)\times\mathbb{R}^m)$. Let ν_x denote the projection of μ_x onto $\Delta(A)$. Let us show that $\nu_x = \beta$. For that, let p_1 denote the projection of $\Delta(A)\times\mathbb{R}^m$ onto $\Delta(A)$: $p_1(s,\lambda) = s$, $(s,\lambda) \in \Delta(A)\times\mathbb{R}^m$. We have the obvious equality

$$\int_{\Delta(A)\times\mathbb{R}^m} (g\circ p_1)(s,\lambda)d\mu_x(s,\lambda) = \int_{\Delta(A)} g(s)d\nu_x(s) \quad (g \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta(A))). \tag{4.4}$$

This being so, let $h \in A$, and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(Q)$ (the space continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$ with compact support contained in Q). Set

$$\Phi(x,y,\lambda) = \varphi(x)h(y) \quad (x \in Q, y \in \mathbb{R}^N, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m).$$

Then $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q};\mathcal{C}(K;A))$ and so, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q \varphi(x)h\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}\right)dx \to \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)\times\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(x)\widehat{h}(s)d\mu_x(s,\lambda).$$

On the other hand, we have, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q \varphi(x) h\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}\right) dx \to \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \varphi(x)\widehat{h}(s) d\beta(s) dx.$$

We deduce that

$$\int_{\Delta(A)\times\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{h}(s) d\mu_x(s,\lambda) = \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{h}(s) d\beta(s) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in Q,$$

or, taking into account (4.4),

$$\int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{h}(s) d\nu_x(s) = \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{h}(s) d\beta(s).$$

Since the above equality holds for every $h \in A$, we deduce that $\nu_x = \beta$ a.e. $x \in Q$ (hence ν_x is homogeneous, i.e. is independent of x). Thus, using the Valadier's result on disintegration of measures [49, Theorem 2], there exists a probability measure $\nu_{x,s}$ ($s \in \Delta(A)$) on $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\mu_x = \nu_{x,s} \otimes \beta.$$

We are therefore led to (4.1) for all $\Phi \in E_p$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Theorem 13 yields the following

Definition 5. The family of probability measures $\{\nu_{x,s}\}_{x\in Q, s\in\Delta(A)}$ is called the *Young measure associated with* $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ *at length scale* ε_1.

The concept of Young measures is weaker than the one of weak Σ-limit as shown by the following result.

Corollary 2. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$. *The function* $u_0 \in L^p(Q; (\mathcal{B}_A^p)^m)$ *defined by*

$$\mathcal{G}_1(u_0)(x,s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \lambda d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda) \quad ((x,s) \in Q \times \Delta(A))$$

is the weak Σ*-limit of* $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E'}$.

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{K}(Q; A)$. Set

$$\Phi^i(x,y,\lambda) = g(x,y)\lambda_i \quad ((x,y,\lambda) \in Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m) \ (1 \le i \le m),$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{1\le i\le m}$. Then Φ^i is continuous on $Q\times\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}^m$ (so is of Carathéodory's type on $Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m$). Besides, as $|\lambda_i| \le 1 + |\lambda|^p$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we have

$$\left|\Phi^i\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}, u_\varepsilon(x)\right)\right| \le c(1 + |u_\varepsilon(x)|^p)$$

where $c = \sup_{x\in Q, y\in\mathbb{R}^N} |g(x,y)| < \infty$. The sequence $(\Phi^i(\cdot, \cdot/\varepsilon_1, u_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon\in E'}$ is therefore uniformly integrable since $p > 1$. We deduce from [50, Theorem 17] that, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q g\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}\right) u_\varepsilon^i(x) dx \to \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{g}(x,s)\lambda_i d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda) d\beta(s) dx$$

where u_ε^i is the ith component of u_ε, $1 \le i \le m$. But by the definition of the weak Σ-limit, if $u_0^i \in L^p(Q; \mathcal{B}_A^p)$ is the weak Σ-limit of $(u_\varepsilon^i)_{\varepsilon\in E'}$, then, as $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q g\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}\right) u_\varepsilon^i(x) dx \to \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{g}(x,s)\widehat{u}_0^i(x,s) d\beta(s) dx,$$

hence, comparing the above convergence results, we deduce that

$$\widehat{u}_0^i(x,s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \lambda_i d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda),$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 6. Due to the preceding corollary ,it is now clear that Theorem 11 generalizes Theorem 5 of the preceding section.

The next result is very useful in practise.

Theorem 14. *Let* $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ *be a bounded sequence in* $L^p(Q;\mathbb{R}^m)$ $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ *with associated Young measure* $(\nu_{x,s})_{x\in Q, s\in\Delta(A)}$. *The following properties hold:*

(i) *Let* $\Phi : Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m \to [0,+\infty)$ *be a Carathéodory integrand, i.e.,* $\Phi(\cdot, y, \cdot)$ *is continuous for all* $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ *and* $\Phi(x,\cdot,\lambda)$ *is measurable for all* $(x,\lambda) \in Q \times \mathbb{R}^m$. *Assume further that*

$$\Phi(x,\cdot,\lambda) \in B_A^1 \quad \forall (x,\lambda) \in Q \times \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Then

$$\int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\lambda) d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda) d\beta(s) dx \leq \liminf_{E\ni\varepsilon\to 0} \int_Q \Phi\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}, u_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx.$$

(ii) *If in addition to* (i), *the sequence* $(\Phi(\cdot,\cdot/\varepsilon_1,u_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon\in E}$ *is uniformly integrable, then* $\widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\cdot)$ *is* $\nu_{x,s}$*-integrable for a.e.* $(x,s) \in Q \times \Delta(A)$. *Besides there exists* $\chi \in L^1(Q;\mathcal{B}_A^1)$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{G}_1(\chi)(x,s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\lambda) d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda) \quad \text{a.e. } (x,s) \in Q \times \Delta(A) \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\Phi(\cdot,\cdot/\varepsilon_1,u_\varepsilon) \to \chi \text{ in } L^1(Q)\text{-weak } \Sigma. \tag{4.6}$$

(iii) *The barycenter* $(x,s) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \lambda d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda)$ *belongs to* $L^p(Q \times \Delta(A);\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Proof. Thanks to the density of A in B_A^1, (i) is a direct consequence of [50, Theorem 16]. As for (ii), the integrability of $\widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\cdot)$ is a consequence of [50, Theorem 17] (see also [48, 5, 4]). Let us check (4.5) and (4.6). Let $g \in \mathcal{K}(Q;A)$; define $\Psi : Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\Psi(x,y,\lambda) = g(x,y)\Phi(x,y,\lambda)$. Then Ψ is of Carathéodory's type on $Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\Psi(\cdot,\cdot/\varepsilon_1,u_\varepsilon)$ is uniformly integrable. In view of [50, Theorem 17] we have, as $E \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \Psi\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1},u_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \quad &\to \quad \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{\Psi}(x,s,\lambda) d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda) d\beta(s) dx \\ &= \quad \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \widehat{g}(x,s)\widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\lambda) d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda) d\beta(s) dx. \end{aligned}$$

But, $(\Phi(\cdot,\cdot/\varepsilon_1,u_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is uniformly integrable, so because of the Theorem 6, there exist a subsequence E' from E and a function $\chi \in L^1(Q;\mathcal{B}_A^1)$ such that

$$\Phi\left(\cdot,\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon_1},u_\varepsilon\right) \to \chi \text{ in } L^1(Q)\text{- weak } \Sigma \text{ as } E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Thus, for g, we have, when $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_Q g\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}\right) \Phi\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1},u_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \to \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{g}(x,s)\widehat{\chi}(x,s) d\beta(s) dx,$$

hence, comparing the above convergence results yields

$$\widehat{\chi}(x,s)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\lambda)d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda),$$

form which, (4.5) and (4.6). Finally, let us check (iii). If in (ii) we choose $\Phi(x,y,\lambda)=|\lambda|^p$ then by using Jensen's inequality we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_Q\int_{\Delta(A)}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\lambda d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda)\right|^p d\beta dx &\leq \int_Q\int_{\Delta(A)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}|\lambda|^p\,d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda)d\beta(s)dx\\ &\leq \liminf_{E\ni\varepsilon\to 0}\int_Q|u_\varepsilon(x)|^p\,dx<+\infty,\end{aligned}$$

hence (iii). $\square$

The following result characterize the strong convergence.

Proposition 8. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^p(Q;\mathbb{R}^m)$ $(1\leq p<\infty)$, and let $(\nu_{x,s})_{x\in Q,s\in\Delta(A)}$ be the associated Young measure. Assume that either $v\in L^p(Q;(A)^m)$ or $v\in\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q};(B_A^p)^m)$. Then*

$$\nu_{x,s}=\delta_{\widehat{v}(x,s)}\text{ if and only if }\lim_{E\ni\varepsilon\to 0}\|u_\varepsilon-v^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(Q)^m}=0,$$

where $v^\varepsilon(x)=v(x,x/\varepsilon_1)$, $x\in Q$.

Proof. Assume that $\nu_{x,s}=\delta_{\widehat{v}(x,s)}$ where v is either in $L^p(Q;(A)^m)$ or in $\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q};(B_A^p)^m)$. Set $\Phi(x,y,\lambda)=|\lambda-v(x,y)|$; then Φ is a Carathéodory function and further, $\Phi\geq 0$. Moreover the sequence $(\Phi(\cdot,\cdot/\varepsilon_1,u_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon\in E}$ is uniformly integrable since by setting $\varphi(t)=t^p$ $(t\geq 0)$, φ is inf-compact and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_Q\varphi\left(\left|\Phi\left(\cdot,\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon_1},u_\varepsilon\right)\right|\right)dx &= \int_Q\left|u_\varepsilon(x)-v\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}\right)\right|^p dx\\ &\leq 2^p\left(\int_Q|u_\varepsilon(x)|^p\,dx+\int_Q\left|v\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}\right)\right|^p dx\right)\\ &\leq M\end{aligned}$$

where M is a positive constant independent of ε. Applying [part (ii) of] Theorem 14, we get, as $E\ni\varepsilon\to 0$,

$$\int_Q\Phi\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1},u_\varepsilon(x)\right)dx\to\int_Q\int_{\Delta(A)}\left\langle\nu_{x,s},\widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\cdot)\right\rangle d\beta(s)dx.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle\nu_{x,s},\widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\cdot)\right\rangle &= \left\langle\delta_{\widehat{v}(x,s)},\widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\cdot)\right\rangle=\widehat{\Phi}(x,s,\widehat{v}(x,s))\\ &= |\widehat{v}(x,s)-\widehat{v}(x,s)|=0\text{ a.e. }(x,s)\in Q\times\Delta(A).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\int_Q\Phi\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1},u_\varepsilon(x)\right)dx=\int_Q\left|u_\varepsilon(x)-v\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}\right)\right|dx=\|u_\varepsilon-v^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(Q)}\,.$$

Now assume that $\|u_\varepsilon-v^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(Q)}\to 0$ as $E\ni\varepsilon\to 0$. Let $g\in\mathcal{K}(Q;A)$; Applying once more [part (ii) of] Theorem 14 with $\Phi(x,y,\lambda)=g(x,y)\,|\lambda-v(x,y)|$ we get, when $E\ni\varepsilon\to 0$

$$\int_Q\Phi\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon_1},u_\varepsilon(x)\right)dx\to\int_Q\int_{\Delta(A)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}\widehat{g}(x,s)\,|\lambda-\widehat{v}(x,s)|\,d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda)d\beta(s)dx.$$

But

$$\left|\int_Q \Phi\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon_1}, u_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx\right| \leq \|g\|_\infty \|u_\varepsilon - v^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(Q)} \to 0 \text{ when } E \ni \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Thus

$$\int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{g}(x,s) \langle \nu_{x,s}, |\lambda - \widehat{v}(x,s)|\rangle \, d\beta dx = 0 \ \forall g \in \mathcal{K}(Q; A),$$

hence $\langle \nu_{x,s}, |\lambda - \widehat{v}(x,s)|\rangle = 0$ a.e. $(x,s) \in Q \times \Delta(A)$, which leads to $\nu_{x,s} = \delta_{\widehat{v}(x,s)}$. □

5. HOMOGENIZATION OF A CONVEX INTEGRAL FUNCTIONAL

All function spaces and scalar functions are real-valued in this section.

5.1. **Setting of the problem.** Our main concern here is the study of the asymptotic behavior (as $\varepsilon \to 0$) of the sequence of solutions of the problems

$$\min \left\{ F_\varepsilon(v) : v \in W_0^{1,p}(Q; \mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

where the functional F_ε is defined on $L^p(Q; \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$F_\varepsilon(v) = \begin{cases} \int_Q f\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, Dv(x)\right) dx, \ v \in W_0^{1,p}(Q; \mathbb{R}^n) \\ +\infty \ \text{ elsewhere,} \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Q being a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $f : \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{nN} \to [0, +\infty)$ a Carathéodory function (i.e., $f(x, \cdot, \lambda)$ is measurable and $f(\cdot, y, \cdot)$ is continuous) satisfying the following conditions:

(H$_1$) $f(x, y, \cdot)$ is strictly convex for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for all $x \in \overline{Q}$,

(H$_2$) There exist three constants $p > 1$ and c_1, $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 |\lambda|^p \leq f(x, y, \lambda) \leq c_2(1 + |\lambda|^p) \tag{5.2}$$

for all $(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^{nN}$ and for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

From the above hypotheses, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and for $v \in L^p(Q; \mathbb{R}^{nN})$, the function $x \mapsto f(x, x/\varepsilon, v(x))$ of Q into $\mathbb{R}_+$ (denoted by $f^\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot, v)$), is well defined and lies in $L^1(Q)$, with

$$c_1 \|v\|^p_{L^p(Q)^{nN}} \leq \|f^\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot, v)\|_{L^1(Q)} \leq c_2' \left(1 + \|v\|^p_{L^p(Q)^{nN}}\right)$$

where $c_2' = c_2 \max(1, |Q|)$ with $|Q| = \int_Q dx$. Hence (5.1) makes sense and, by classical arguments, there exists [16, 21] (for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$) a unique $u_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,p}(Q; \mathbb{R}^n)$ that realizes the infimum of F_ε on $L^p(Q; \mathbb{R}^{nN})$, i.e.,

$$F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \min_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(Q; \mathbb{R}^n)} F_\varepsilon(v). \tag{5.3}$$

Our objective here amounts to find, under the assumption that

$$f(x, \cdot, \lambda) \in B_A^1 \text{ for all } x \in \overline{Q}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}, \tag{5.4}$$

(where A is an algebra wmv) a homogenized functional $\overline{F}$ such that the sequence of minimizers u_ε converges to a limit $\mathbf{u}$, which is precisely the minimizer of $\overline{F}$.

This issue has already been addressed in many papers (see in particular [3, 6, 22, 31]). In [31] the general deterministic homogenization of (5.1) is addressed, but in separable ergodic algebras wmv using the Σ-convergence method. Here no ergodicity assumption is made on the algebra A and moreover, we use the Young measures theory to solve the problem. This reduces considerably the length of this section in contrast to what has been done so far; see e.g. [31]. So we mean here to provide by means of Young measures generated by an algebra wmv, a full study of the functional F_ε in the general framework of algebras wmv.

5.2. **Homogenization result.** Let A be an algebra wmv. Using (5.4) and some well-known results (see e.g. [31, Proposition 2.3], [56, Proposition 3.1]) one can easily define the function $f(\cdot,\cdot,\mathbf{w}) : (x,y) \to f(x,y,\mathbf{w}(x,y))$ (for $w \in L^p(Q;(B_A^p)^{nN})$), as an element of $L^1(Q;B_A^1)$ with

$$\widehat{f}(x,s,\widehat{\mathbf{w}}(x,s)) = \mathcal{G}(f(x,\cdot,\mathbf{w}(x,\cdot)))(s) \text{ a.e. in } (x,s) \in Q \times \Delta(A).$$

Now, let

$$\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p} = W_0^{1,p}(Q;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(Q;(\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p})^n).$$

$\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}} = \left(\|u_0\|^p_{W_0^{1,p}(Q)^n} + \|u_1\|^p_{L^p(Q;(\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p})^n)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (\mathbf{u} = (u_0,u_1) \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}),$$

(where $\|u_1\|_{L^p(Q;(\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p})^n)} = (\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{N} \|\overline{\partial} u_{1,i}/\partial y_j\|^p_{L^p(Q;\mathcal{B}_A^p)})^{1/p}$ for $u_1 = (u_{1,i})_{1\leq i\leq n}$) admitting $F_0^\infty = \mathcal{D}(Q)^n \times [\mathcal{D}(Q) \otimes (\varrho(A))^n]$ as a dense subspace.

We can now state and prove the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 15. *Let A be a separable algebra wmv such that* (5.4) *holds. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let u_ε be the unique solution of* (5.3)*. Then, as $\varepsilon \to 0$ we have*

$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \textit{ in } W_0^{1,p}(Q)^n\textit{-weak} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \to \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\overline{\partial} u_1}{\partial y_i} \textit{ in } L^p(Q)^n \textit{ weak } \Sigma \ (1 \leq i \leq N) \tag{5.6}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_0,u_1) \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$ is the unique solution of the variational minimization problem

$$F(\mathbf{u}) = \inf_{\mathbf{v}\in\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}} F(\mathbf{v}) \tag{5.7}$$

with the functional F defined on $L^p(Q;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(Q;(\mathcal{B}_{\#A}^{1,p})^n)$ by

$$F(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{cases} \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{f}(x,s,Dv_0 + \partial\widehat{v}_1) d\beta dx \textit{ for } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p} \\ +\infty \textit{ elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

and $\partial\widehat{v}_1 = \widehat{\overline{D}_y v_1}$.

Proof. First of all we see that Eq. (5.7) possesses a unique solution since the function $\widehat{f} : \overline{Q} \times \Delta(A) \times \mathbb{R}^{nN} \to [0,\infty)$, $\widehat{f}(x,s,\lambda) = \mathcal{G}(f(x,\cdot,\lambda))(s)$ is a Carathéodory's type function which is strictly convex in λ.

Now, in view of the growth condition (5.2), the sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(Q)^n$ and so the sequence $f^\varepsilon(\cdot,\cdot,Du_\varepsilon)$ is bounded in $L^1(Q)$. Thus given an arbitrary fundamental sequence E, there exist a subsequence E' from E and a couple $\mathbf{u} = (u_0,u_1) \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$ such that (5.5)-(5.6) hold whenever $E' \ni \varepsilon \to 0$. If we show that $\mathbf{u}$ solves (5.7) then thanks to the uniqueness of the solution to (5.7), the convergence results (5.5)-(5.6) will hold for $\varepsilon \to 0$. Thus our only concern here is to check that $\mathbf{u}$ solves (5.7). To this end, let $(\nu_{x,s})_{x\in Q, s\in\Delta(A)}$ be the Young measure associated with $(Du_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E'}$ at length scale ε. Thanks to [part (i) of] Theorem 14 we have

$$\int_Q\int_{\Delta(A)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nN}}\widehat{f}(x,s,\lambda)d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda)d\beta(s)dx\leq \liminf_{E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0}\int_Q f\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},Du_\varepsilon\right)dx.$$

But due to Jensen's inequality one has

$$\int_Q\int_{\Delta(A)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nN}}\widehat{f}(x,s,\lambda)d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda)d\beta(s)dx\geq\int_Q\int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{f}\left(x,s,\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nN}}\lambda d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda)\right)d\beta(s)dx,$$

and by Corollary 2,

$$\int_Q\int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{f}(x,s,Du_0+\widehat{\overline{D}_yu_1})d\beta dx\leq \liminf_{E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0}\int_Q f\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},Du_\varepsilon\right)dx$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nN}}\lambda d\nu_{x,s}(\lambda)=Du_0(x)+\widehat{\overline{D}_yu_1(x,\cdot)}(s)=\mathcal{G}_1(Du_0(x)+\overline{D}_yu_1(x,\cdot))(s)$. So, let E_1' be a subsequence from E' such that

$$\liminf_{E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0}\int_Q f\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},Du_\varepsilon\right)dx=\lim_{E_1'\ni\varepsilon\to 0}\int_Q f\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},Du_\varepsilon\right)dx.$$

We then have

$$\int_Q\int_{\Delta(A)}\widehat{f}(x,s,Du_0+\widehat{\overline{D}_yu_1})d\beta dx\leq \lim_{E_1'\ni\varepsilon\to 0}\int_Q f\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},Du_\varepsilon\right)dx. \tag{5.8}$$

Let us establish an upper bound for $\lim_{E_1'\ni\varepsilon\to 0}\int_Q f(x,x/\varepsilon,Du_\varepsilon)\,dx$. To do that, let $\Phi=(\psi_0,\varrho^n(\psi_1))\in F_0^\infty$ with $\psi_0\in\mathcal{D}(Q)^n$, $\psi_1=(\psi_{1,i})_{1\leq i\leq n}\in[\mathcal{D}(Q)\otimes(\varrho(A^\infty))^n]$, $\varrho^n(\psi_1)=(\varrho(\psi_{1,i}))_{1\leq i\leq n}$. We define Φ_ε as follows: $\Phi_\varepsilon=\psi_0+\varepsilon\psi_1^\varepsilon$, that is, $\Phi_\varepsilon(x)=\psi_0(x)+\varepsilon\psi_1(x,x/\varepsilon)$ for $x\in Q$. Then $\Phi_\varepsilon\in W_0^{1,p}(Q)^n$, and, since u_ε is the minimizer, one has

$$\int_Q f\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},Du_\varepsilon\right)dx\leq\int_Q f\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},D\Phi_\varepsilon(x)\right)dx.$$

Set $v_\varepsilon(x)=f(x,x/\varepsilon,Du_\varepsilon)$ $(x\in Q)$, $\varepsilon\in E_1'$. Then $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E_1'}$ is uniformly integrable; indeed let $\varphi(t)=t^2$ $(t\geq 0)$; then φ is inf-compact, $\varphi(t)/t\to+\infty$ as $t\to+\infty$, and further

$$\begin{aligned}\int_Q\varphi(v_\varepsilon(x))dx &\leq c_2^2\int_Q(1+|D\Phi_\varepsilon|^p)^2dx\\ &\leq c_2^2\,|Q|\,(1+\|D\psi_0\|_\infty+\|D\psi_1\|_\infty+\|D_y\psi_1\|_\infty)^{2p}\\ &< \infty\end{aligned}$$

where $|Q|$ denote the Lebesgue measure of Q. The sequence $(D\Phi_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E_1'}$ being bounded in $L^p(Q;\mathbb{R}^{nN})$ let $(\mu_{x,s})_{x\in Q,s\in\Delta(A)}$ be the Young measure associated with $(D\Phi_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E_1'}$ at length scale ε. Since f is Carathéodory and $v_\varepsilon=f(\cdot,\cdot/\varepsilon,Du_\varepsilon)$ is uniformly integrable, we deduce by [part (ii) of] Theorem 14 that, as $E_1'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$,

$$\int_Q f\left(x,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},D\Phi_\varepsilon(x)\right)dx\to\int_Q\int_{\Delta(A)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nN}}\widehat{f}(x,s,\lambda)d\mu_{x,s}(\lambda)d\beta(s)dx.$$

But $D\Phi_\varepsilon-(D\psi_0+(D_y\psi_1)^\varepsilon)=\varepsilon(D\psi_1)^\varepsilon$ and so

$$\|D\Phi_\varepsilon-(D\psi_0+(D_y\psi_1)^\varepsilon)\|_{L^1(Q)}\to 0\text{ as }E_1'\ni\varepsilon\to 0.$$

This yields by Proposition 8 that $\mu_{x,s} = \delta_{D\psi_0 + \widehat{D_y\psi}_1}$, in such a way that

$$\lim_{E_1' \ni \varepsilon \to 0} \int_Q f\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, D\Phi_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx = \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{f}(x, s, D\psi_0 + \widehat{D_y\psi}_1) d\beta dx.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{E_1' \ni \varepsilon \to 0} \int_Q f\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, Du_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \leq \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{f}(x, s, D\psi_0 + \widehat{D_y\psi}_1) d\beta dx$$

for any $\Phi = (\psi_0, \varrho^n(\psi_1)) \in F_0^\infty$, and by a density argument, for all $\Phi \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$. Whence

$$\lim_{E_1' \ni \varepsilon \to 0} \int_Q f\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, Du_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \leq \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}} \int_Q \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{f}(x, s, Dv_0 + \widehat{\overline{D}_y v}_1) d\beta dx. \quad (5.9)$$

The inequalities (5.8) and (5.9) yield (5.7). This completes the proof. □

5.3. **Some applications of Theorem 15.** We can consider the homogenization problem for (5.3) under a variety of assumptions as in the following examples.

Example 1 (*Homogenization in ergodic algebras*)**.** We assume that the algebra A is ergodic. This allows us to solve the following deterministic homogenization problems:

(P)$_1$ The function f is periodic in y;

(P)$_2$ The function f is almost periodic in y [10, 12];

(P)$_3$

$$f(x, \cdot, \lambda) \in L^1_{\infty,AP}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for all } x \in \overline{Q} \text{ and all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$$

where $L^1_{\infty,AP}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the closure with respect to the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_1$ (defined in Section 2) of the space of finite sums

$$\sum_{\textit{finite}} \varphi_i u_i \quad \text{with } \varphi_i \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),\ u_i \in AP(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

$AP(\mathbb{R}^N)$ being the space of all continuous real-valued almost periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the space of continuous real-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$ that converge at infinity.

Example 2 (*Homogenization in non ergodic algebra*)**.** We assume here that $N = 1$. Let A be the algebra generated by the function $f(z) = \cos \sqrt[3]{z}$ $(z \in \mathbb{R})$ and all its translates $f(\cdot + a)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$. It is known that A is an algebra with mean value which is not ergodic; see [26] for details. Since A satisfies all the requirements of Theorem 13, the conclusion of Theorem 15 holds under the hypothesis

(H) $f(x, \cdot, \lambda) \in B^1_A$ for all $(x, \lambda) \in \overline{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

The homogenization problem solved here is new. One can also consider other homogenization problems in the present setting of non-ergodic algebras.

6. Homogenization of a stochastic Ladyzhenskaya model for incompressible viscous flow

We assume in this section that all vector spaces are real vector spaces, and all scalar functions are real-valued. Let A_y and A_τ be two algebras wmv on $\mathbb{R}^N_y$ and $\mathbb{R}_\tau$, respectively. We set $A = A_y \odot A_\tau$, the product algebra wmv defined as in [30, 34, 59]. Obviously, no ergodicity assumption is required neither on A_y, nor on A_τ. We begin this section by giving some fundamental notions about the probability theory and the stochastic calculus which will be necessary throughout the rest of the section. Although these concepts are well-known to specialists we find necessary to recall them in order to facilitate the reading of the paper.

6.1. **Some tools from Stochastic Calculus and Abstract Probability Theory.** Let T be a positive real number and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. On $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is defined a prescribed m-dimensional standard Wiener process W. We equip $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with the natural filtration $(\mathcal{F}^t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ of W. The mathematical expectation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is denoted by $\mathbb{E}$. Assume that X is a Hilbert space. We denote by $X^{\otimes m}$ the Cartesian product $X \times ... \times X$, m times. Let u be a X-valued process such that

(a) $u(t)$ is $\mathcal{F}^t$-measurable for each t,
(b) $\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2_{X^{\otimes m}}\, dt < \infty$.

For such a process we can define the *stochastic integral* (or *Itô's integral*)

$$I(T)u := \int_0^T u(t)dW(t)$$

as the limit in probability of the sums

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u(t_k) \cdot (W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)),$$

as $|\Delta^n| \to 0$. Here $|\Delta^n| = \max_{0\leq k\leq n-1} |t_k - t_{k-1}|$ is the mesh (or modulus) of the partition $\Delta^n = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_n = T\}$ of $I = [0, T]$. We state an important property of the stochastic integral. See, for example, [17] for its proof.

Theorem 16. *For a process* $u(t)$ *satisfying* (a) *and* (b) *above, the stochastic process* $\int_0^t u(s)dW(s)$ *is an* X*-valued continuous martingale. Moreover, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}I(t)u = 0,\, 0 \leq t \leq T$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\, |I(t)u|^2_X = \mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|u(s)\|^2_X\, ds,\, 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

We note that for those $\mathcal{F}^t$-adapted stochastic process $u(t)$ such that

$$\int_0^T \|u(t)\|^2_X\, dt < \infty \text{ almost surely,}$$

$\|I(t)u\|_X$ is no longer a martingale but a continuous local martingale.

Now let $Z(t)$ be a $\mathbb{R}$-valued Itô's integral with respect to a standard Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^m$ defined by

$$Z(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t g_j(s)dW^j(s),$$

where $(g_j(s))_{1\leq j\leq m}$ are $\mathcal{F}^t$-adapted processes such that

$$\int_0^T g_j^2(s)ds < \infty \text{ almost surely, for } 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

The corresponding Itô integrals exist and they are local martingales. We have the following result known as *Itô's formula* (see for example [40]).

Theorem 17. *Let $u(t)$ be a stochastic process given by*

$$u(t)=\int_0^t b(s)ds+Z(t),$$

where $b(s)$ is an adapted integrable process over $[0,T]$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\phi:\mathbb{R}\times[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $\phi(x,t)$ is continuously differentiable twice in x and once in t. Then, the following holds

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(u(t),t)=\phi(u(0),0)&+\int_0^t\frac{\partial\phi(u(s),s)}{\partial s}ds+\int_0^t\frac{\partial\phi(u(s),s)}{\partial x}b(s)ds\\&+\sum_{j=1}^m\int_0^t\frac{\partial\phi(u(s),s)}{\partial x}g_j(s)dW^j(s)\\&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^m\int_0^t\frac{\partial^2\phi(u(s),s)}{\partial x^2}g_j^2(s)ds.\end{aligned}$$

As a special case we have the following Itô's formula for $\|u(t)\|_X^2$; we refer to [36] for its proof. We recall that $W=(W^1,...,W^m)$ is a standard Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Theorem 18. *Assume that ζ is $\mathcal{F}^0$-random variable in X with $\|\zeta\|_X^2<\infty$. Suppose that $b(t)$, and $g_j(t)$ are $\mathcal{F}^t$-adapted X-valued processes such that $b(t)$ is integrable over $[0,T]$ and*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T\|b(t)\|_X^2\,dt+\sum_{j=1}^m\int_0^T\|g_j(t)\|_X^2\,dt\right)<\infty.$$

Let $u(t)$ be a X-valued process given by

$$u(t)=\zeta+\int_0^t b(s)ds+\sum_{j=1}^m\int_0^t g_j(s)dW^j(s).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t)\|_X^2=\|\zeta\|_X^2&+2\int_0^t((b(s),u(s)))_X ds+2\sum_{j=1}^m\int_0^t((g_j(s),u(s)))_X dW^j\\&+\int_0^t\sum_{j=1}^m\|g_j(s)\|_X^2\,ds,\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

where $((\cdot,\cdot))_X$ denotes the inner product in X.

In what follows we quote the famous Burkhölder-Davis-Gundy inequality (see e.g., [37] or [40]).

Theorem 19. *Let Z_t be the Itô's integral in X given by*

$$Z_t=\int_0^t X(s)dW(s).$$

Then for any $p>0$ there exists a constant K_p ($K_1=3$) such that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left\|\int_0^t X(s)dW(s)\right\|_X^p\leq K_p\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T\|X(s)\|_X^2\,ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}},$$

provided that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \|X(s)\|_X^2\,ds\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty.$$

Now we turn our attention to the weak convergence topology in the space of Borel probability measures on topological spaces (see e.g. [27]). For a topological space $\mathfrak{X}$ we denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{X})$ the space of Borel probability measures on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}))$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X})$ being the Borel σ-field of $\mathfrak{X}$.

Definition 6. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a topological space.*

(i) *A family $\mathfrak{P}_k$ of probability measures on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}))$ is relatively compact if every sequence of elements of $\mathfrak{P}_k$ contains a subsequence $\mathfrak{P}_{k_j}$ which converges weakly to a probability measure $\mathfrak{P}$, that is, for any bounded and continuous function ϕ on $\mathfrak{X}$,*

$$\lim_{k_j\to\infty}\int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(x)\mathfrak{P}_{k_j}(dx) = \int_{\mathfrak{X}} \phi(x)\mathfrak{P}(dx).$$

(ii) *The family $\mathfrak{P}_k$ is said to be tight if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K_\varepsilon \subset \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(K_\varepsilon) \geq 1-\varepsilon$ for every $\mathbb{P} \in \mathfrak{P}_k$.*

For a Polish space $\mathfrak{X}$ (that is, a separable and complete metric space), the following theorem due to Prokhorov gives a sufficient and necessary condition for a sequence of probability measures on $\mathfrak{X}$ to be weakly (or relatively) compact. We refer to [17] (see also [27]) for its proof.

Theorem 20 (Prokhorov)**.** *The family $\mathfrak{P}_k$ is relatively compact if and only if it is tight.*

Next we present the relationship between convergence in distribution and convergence almost surely of random variables (see once again [17] or [27]).

Theorem 21 (Skorokhod)**.** *For any sequence of probability measures $\mathfrak{P}_k$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ which converges to a probability measure $\mathfrak{P}$, there exist a probability space $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mathbb{P}')$ and random variables X_k, X defined on Ω' such that the probability law of X_k (resp., X) is $\mathfrak{P}_k$ (resp., $\mathfrak{P}$) and $\lim_{k\to\infty} X_k = X$ $\mathbb{P}'$-almost surely.*

The following criteria for convergence in probability whose proof can be found in [23] need to be highlighted.

Lemma 5. *Let X be a Polish space. A sequence of a X-valued random variables $\{x_n : n \geq 0\}$ converges in probability if and only if for every subsequence of joint probability laws, $\{\nu_{n_k,m_k} : k \geq 0\}$, there exists a further subsequence which converges weakly to a probability measure ν such that*

$$\nu\left(\{(x,y) \in X \times X : x = y\}\right) = 1.$$

6.2. **Statement of the problem and a priori estimates.** Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. On $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ we define a prescribed m-dimensional standard Wiener process W. We equip $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with the natural filtration $(\mathcal{F}^t)$ of W as in the preceding subsection. We therefore aim at studying the asymptotics of the following stochastic generalized Navier-Stokes type equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} d\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \left(-\operatorname{div}\left[a\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + b\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \left|D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\right|^{p-2} D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \right] + \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\cdot D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + Dq_\varepsilon \right) dt \\ \qquad = \mathbf{f}dt + g\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon},\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\right) dW \text{ in } Q_T \\ \operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } Q_T \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial Q\times(0,T) \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(x,0) = \mathbf{u}^0(x) \text{ in } Q. \end{array}\right. \tag{6.2}$$

In order that (6.2) becomes meaningful, we need to precise the data. Let Q be a smooth bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N_x$ ($N = 2$ or 3), and let T be a positive real number. In $Q_T = Q\times(0,T)$ we consider the partial differential operator (where D and div denote respectively the gradient operator and divergence operator in Q)

$$P^\varepsilon = -\operatorname{div}\left[a\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) D\cdot \right] := -\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(a_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)$$

where the function $a = (a_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq N} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N_y\times\mathbb{R}_\tau)^{N\times N}$ satisfies the following assumptions:

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} \tag{6.3}$$

and there exists a constant $\nu_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(y,\tau)\lambda_i\lambda_j \geq \nu_0 \left|\lambda\right|^2 \text{ for all } \lambda = (\lambda_i)\in\mathbb{R}^N \text{ and a.e. } (y,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau}. \tag{6.4}$$

The operator P^ε above defined is assumed to act on vector functions as follows: for $\mathbf{u} = (u^i)_{1\leq i\leq N} \in (W^{1,p}(Q))^N$ we have $P^\varepsilon\mathbf{u} = (P^\varepsilon u^i)_{1\leq i\leq N}$. The function $b\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau})$ and verifies $c_1 \leq b(y,\tau) \leq c_1^{-1}$ a.e. $(y,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}$ where c_1 is a positive constant. So, putting $b(y,\tau,\lambda) = b(y,\tau)\left|\lambda\right|^{p-2}\lambda$, the function $b : (y,\tau,\lambda)\mapsto b(y,\tau,\lambda)$, from $\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ satisfies:

$$\text{For each fixed } \lambda\in\mathbb{R}^N,\ b(\cdot,\cdot,\lambda) \text{ is measurable;} \tag{6.5}$$

$$b(y,\tau,0) = 0 \text{ a.e. } (y,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}; \tag{6.6}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{There are two positive constants } \nu_1 \text{ and } \nu_2 \text{ such that, for a.e. } (y,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}, \\ \text{(i) } (b(y,\tau,\lambda)-b(y,\tau,\mu))\cdot(\lambda-\mu) \geq \nu_1\left|\lambda-\mu\right|^p \\ \text{(ii) } \left|b(y,\tau,\lambda)-b(y,\tau,\mu)\right| \leq \nu_2\left(\left|\lambda\right|+\left|\mu\right|\right)^{p-2}\left|\lambda-\mu\right| \\ \text{for all } \lambda,\mu\in\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}, \end{array} \tag{6.7}$$

where $p\geq 3$ is a real number, the dot denotes the usual Euclidean inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$, and $\left|\cdot\right|$ the associated norm. Next, the mapping $(y,\tau,u)\mapsto g(y,\tau,u)$ from $\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^N$ into $\mathbb{R}^m$ (integer $m\geq 1$) satisfies the assumption that there exist positive constants c_0 and c_1 such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } g(\cdot,\cdot,u) \text{ is measurable for any } u\in\mathbb{R}^N; \\ \text{(ii) } \left|g(y,\tau,u)\right| \leq c_0(1+\left|u\right|); \\ \text{(iii) } \left|g(y,\tau,u_1)-g(y,\tau,u_2)\right| \leq c_1\left|u_1-u_2\right| \\ \text{for all } u,u_1,u_2\in\mathbb{R}^N \text{ and for a.e. } (y,\tau)\in\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}. \end{array} \tag{6.8}$$

The first issue to be discussed is related to the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (6.2). Prior to that, we introduce the following spaces [29, 47]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{V} = \{\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q)^N : \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0\};\\
&V = \text{ closure of } \mathcal{V} \text{ in } W^{1,p}(Q)^N = \{\mathbf{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(Q)^N : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0\};\\
&H = \text{ closure of } \mathcal{V} \text{ in } L^2(Q)^N.
\end{aligned}$$

We endow V with the $W_0^{1,p}(Q)^N$-norm (the gradient norm), which gives a reflexive Banach space. The space H is equipped with the $L^2(Q)^N$-norm which makes it a Hilbert space. For $\mathbf{u} \in L^p(0,T;V)$ the question for the existence of the trace function $(x,t) \mapsto b(x/\varepsilon, t/\varepsilon, D\mathbf{u}(x,t))$ can be discussed in the same way as in [32]. Also the function $(x,t) \mapsto a(x/\varepsilon, t/\varepsilon)$ is well defined. With this in mind, let

$$a^\varepsilon(x,t) = a\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

and

$$b^\varepsilon(\cdot,\cdot,D\mathbf{u})(x,t) = b\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, D\mathbf{u}(x,t)\right) := b\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) |D\mathbf{u}(x,t)|^{p-2} D\mathbf{u}(x,t)$$

for $(x,t) \in Q_T$. We introduce the functionals

$$a_I(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \int_Q (a^\varepsilon D\mathbf{u}) \cdot D\mathbf{v}dx + \int_Q b^\varepsilon(\cdot,\cdot,D\mathbf{u}) \cdot D\mathbf{v}dx \ (\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v} \in W_0^{1,p}(Q)^N);$$

$$b_I(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i,k=1}^N \int_Q u^i \frac{\partial v^k}{\partial x_i} w^k dx \quad (\mathbf{u} = (u^i), \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in W_0^{1,p}(Q)^N).$$

Then the following estimates hold:

$$|a_I(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})| \leq \|a\|_\infty \|D\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q)} \|D\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(Q)} + \nu_2 \|D\mathbf{u}\|_{L^p(Q)}^{p-1} \|D\mathbf{v}\|_{L^p(Q)}; \tag{6.9}$$

$$a_I(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) \geq \nu_0 \|D\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \nu_1 \|D\mathbf{v}\|_{L^p(Q)}^p \tag{6.10}$$

for all $\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v} \in W_0^{1,p}(Q)^N$. From the above estimate (6.9) we infer by the Riesz representation theorem the existence of an operator $\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon : V \to V'$ such that

$$a_I(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \langle P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\rangle + \langle \mathcal{A}^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\rangle \text{ for all } \mathbf{u},\mathbf{v} \in V.$$

It is worth noting that since $p \geq 3$ (hence $p \geq 2$) we have $P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u} \in V'$ for $\mathbf{u} \in V$. Moreover the operator $\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon$ (for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$) is maximal monotone, surjective and hemicontinuous [29]. As far as the trilinear form b_I is concerned, we have that [29, 47]

$$b_I(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v}) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in V \text{ and } \mathbf{v} \in W_0^{1,p}(Q)^N;$$

$$b_I(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = b_I(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{u}) \text{ for } \mathbf{u} \in V \text{ and } \mathbf{v} \in W_0^{1,p}(Q)^N.$$

Furthermore, since $W^{1,p}(Q) \subset L^r(Q)$ [1] for any $r > 1$ (indeed for $N = 2,3$ and $p \geq 3$ we have $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{N} \leq 0$, so that, by the Sobolev embedding, the above embedding holds true). So choosing $r > 1$ in such a way that $\frac{2}{r} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$, we have by Hölder's inequality that

$$|b_I(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{u})| \leq c \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^r(Q)}^2 \|D\mathbf{v}\|_{L^p(Q)} \text{ for all } \mathbf{u},\mathbf{v} \in W_0^{1,p}(Q)^N. \tag{6.11}$$

We therefore infer the existence of an element $B(\mathbf{u}) \in V'$ such that

$$\langle B(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v}\rangle = b_I(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{u},\mathbf{v} \in V. \tag{6.12}$$

Equation (6.12) defines a bounded operator $B : V \to V'$ with the further property that if $\mathbf{u} \in L^p(0,T;V)$ then $B(\mathbf{u}) \in L^{p'}(0,T;V')$. In fact, from (6.11)-(6.12) we have by Hölder's inequality (for $\mathbf{u} \in L^p(0,T;V)$),

$$\|B(\mathbf{u})\|_{L^{p'}(0,T;V')} \leq \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^r(Q)}^{2p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} .$$

But $W^{1,p}(Q) \subset L^r(Q)$ (with continuous embedding), hence there is a positive constant c independent of $\mathbf{u}$, such that

$$\|B(\mathbf{u})\|_{L^{p'}(0,T;V')} \leq c \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_V^{2p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} .$$

Also, as $p \geq 3$, we have $2p' \leq p$, so that using once again Hölder's inequality with exponent $p/2p' \geq 1$, we get

$$\left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_V^{2p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \leq c \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_V^{p} dt \right)^{2/p} .$$

We therefore deduce

$$\|B(\mathbf{u})\|_{L^{p'}(0,T;V')} \leq c \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_V^{p} dt \right)^{2/p} . \tag{6.13}$$

The above inequality will be useful in the sequel. Finally, for the sake of completeness, we choose $\mathbf{f} \in L^{p'}(0,T;V')$ and $\mathbf{u}^0 \in H$. We are now in a position to state the existence and uniqueness result for (6.2). Before we can do that, however, we need to take the projection of (6.2) on V'; we get the following abstract form in V':

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + (P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{A}^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon))dt = \mathbf{f}dt + g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)dW, \ 0 < t < T \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(0) = \mathbf{u}^0. \end{array} \right. \tag{6.14}$$

With all the properties of the operator $\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon$ (among which the strict monotonicity, the maximality and the hemicontinuity) the existence and uniqueness of a martingale solution (and hence from the uniqueness, the strong) solution to (6.14) follows exactly the way of proceeding as in [46], and we can formulate the following result without proof.

Theorem 22. *Let the hypotheses be as above. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be freely fixed and let $1 < r < \infty$. There exists an $\mathcal{F}^t$-progressively measurable process $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \in L^r(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; L^p(0,T;V) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H))$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{r} (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}) + \int_0^t (P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s) + \mathcal{A}^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s) + B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)), \mathbf{v})\, ds = (\mathbf{u}^0, \mathbf{v}) \\ + \int_0^t (\mathbf{f}(s), \mathbf{v})\, ds + \int_0^t (g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s), \mathbf{v})\, dW(s) \end{array} \tag{6.15}$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$ and for almost all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0,T]$. Moreover $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \in L^r(\Omega; \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \mathcal{C}([0,T];H))$ and is unique in the sense that if $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ and $\overline{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon$ satisfy (6.15) *then $\mathbb{P}(\omega : \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t) = \overline{\mathbf{u}}_\varepsilon(t)$ in V' for all $t \in [0,T]) = 1$.*

Remark 7. (1) For the existence result in the above theorem, we only need to have $p \geq 1 + \frac{2N}{N+2}$, and for the uniqueness, the more restricted assumption $p \geq 1 + \frac{N}{2}$ is required; see [29]. We have taken $p \geq 3$ only for the sake of simplicity. We might take $p \geq 1 + \frac{N}{2}$ for both the existence and uniqueness. (2) Since $f \in L^{p'}(0,T;V')$ the existence of the pressure q_ε is out of reach; see e.g., [44, 45]. That is why, in the sequel we are mainly concern with the asymptotics of the velocity field $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ defined in Theorem 22. Accordingly, throughout the remainder of this section, we will only refer to problem (6.14) instead of (6.2).

It is very important to note that very few results are available as regards the homogenization of SPDEs. We may cite [7, 24, 25, 41, 52, 53] in that framework. In the just mentioned work, the homogenization of SPDEs is studied under the periodicity assumption on the coefficients of the equations considered. In addition, the convergence method used is either the G-convergence method [7, 24, 25] or the two-scale convergence method [52, 53]. In view of the study of the qualitative properties of the solutions of SPDEs, it is more convenient to use an appropriate method taking into account both the random and deterministic behaviours of these solutions; see Subsection 3.2. As for the homogenization of SPDEs in a general ergodic environment (or beyond) is concerned, no results is available so far. The present work is therefore the first one in which such a problem is considered.

Before we can proceed with the a priori estimates, let us set a convention. The letter C will throughout denote a positive constant whose value may change according to the occasion. The dependence of constants on the parameters will be written explicitly only when necessary. With this in mind, the following a priori estimates hold.

Proposition 9. *For each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ be the unique solution of* (6.14)*. Then for any $1 < r < \infty$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^r_{L^2(Q)} \leq C; \tag{6.16}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{H^1_0(Q)^N}\, dt \leq C \tag{6.17}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{V}\, dt \leq C \tag{6.18}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, where C is a positive constant independent of ε.

Proof. Applying Itô's formula to $\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(Q)}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(Q)} + 2\int_0^t \langle P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s) + A^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s) + B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\rangle\, ds \\ = \ & \left\|\mathbf{u}^0\right\|^2_{L^2(Q)} + 2\int_0^t \langle \mathbf{f}(s), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\rangle\, ds + \int_0^t \left|g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))\right|^2 ds \\ & +2\int_0^t (g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))\, dW(s). \end{aligned}$$

By using (6.4), [part (i) of] (6.7) and (6.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(Q)} + 2\nu_0 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{H^1_0(Q)^N} ds + 2\nu_1 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^p_V\, ds \\ & \leq \left\|\mathbf{u}^0\right\|^2_{L^2(Q)} + 2\int_0^t \|\mathbf{f}(s)\|_{V'} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|_V\, ds + C\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{L^2(Q)} ds \\ & +C + 2\int_0^t (g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))\, dW(s). \end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

By Young's inequality applied to the first integral on the right-hand side of (6.19),

$$\begin{aligned} 2\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{f}(s)\right\|_{V'}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_V ds &\leq C(\nu_1)\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{f}(s)\right\|_{V'}^{p'} ds + \nu_1\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_V^p ds \\ &\leq C + \nu_1\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_V^p ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the above inequality in (6.19) we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + 2\nu_0\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|_{H_0^1(Q)^N}^2 ds + \nu_1\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|_V^p ds \\ \leq\ & \left\|\mathbf{u}^0\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + C + C\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 ds + 2\int_0^t \left(g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right) dW(s). \end{aligned}$$

Taking first the supremum over $0 \leq s \leq t$ (for all $0 \leq t \leq T$) and next the mathematical expectation in the above inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + 2\nu_0\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|_{H_0^1(Q)^N}^2 ds + \nu_1\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|_V^p ds \\ &\leq \left\|\mathbf{u}^0\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + C + C\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 ds \\ &\quad +2\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left|\int_0^s \left(g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\right) dW(\tau)\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

Making use of the Burkhölder-Davis-Gundy's inequality applied to the last term in the right-hand side of (6.20),

$$\begin{aligned} &2\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left|\int_0^s \left(g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\right) dW(\tau)\right| \\ \leq\ & C\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t \left|\left(g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right)\right|^2 ds\right)^{1/2} \\ \leq\ & C\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \left(\int_0^t \left\|g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 ds\right)^{1/2}\right], \end{aligned}$$

and by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left|\int_0^s \left(g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\tau)\right) dW(\tau)\right| &\leq \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \\ &+C\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Putting this in (6.20) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + 2\nu_0\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{H_0^1(Q)^N}^2 ds + \nu_1\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_V^p ds \\ &\leq C + C\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

It therefore follows from Gronwall's inequality that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \leq C \tag{6.22}$$

where C is independent of ε. It also follows from (6.21) and (6.22) that

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|_V^p dt \leq C \text{ and } \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|_{H_0^1(Q)^N}^2 dt \leq C$$

where C is also independent of ε, hence (6.17) and (6.18).

Now, as far as (6.16) is concerned, we start again from the Itô's formula which reads in this case as: if

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \phi(s)ds + N_t$$

where $X_t = \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(Q)}$, $X_0 = \left\|\mathbf{u}^0\right\|^2_{L^2(Q)}$, $N_t = 2\int_0^t \left(g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right) dW(s)$ and $\phi(s) = -2\left\langle P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s) + \mathcal{A}^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\rangle + 2\left\langle \mathbf{f}(s), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\rangle + \|g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))\|^2_{L^2(Q)}$, then

$$X_t^l = X_0^l + l\int_0^t X_s^{l-1}\phi(s)ds + l\int_0^t X_s^{l-1}dN_s + \frac{1}{2}l(l-1)\int_0^t X_s^{l-2}d\left\langle N_s\right\rangle$$

for any $1 \leq l < \infty$. We apply the above formula with $l = r/2$ $(r > 2)$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^r_{L^2(Q)} + r\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^{r-2}_{L^2(Q)}\left[\nu_0 \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{H_0^1(Q)^N} + \nu_1 \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^p_V\right]ds \\
\leq\ & \left\|\mathbf{u}^0\right\|^r_{L^2(Q)} + \frac{r}{2}\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^{r-2}_{L^2(Q)} \|g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))\|^2_{L^2(Q)} ds \\
& + r\left(\frac{r}{2} - 1\right)\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^{r-2}_{L^2(Q)} \|g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))\|^2_{L^2(Q)} ds \\
& + \frac{r}{2}\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|^{r-2}_{L^2(Q)} \left(g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)), \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right) dW(s).
\end{aligned}$$

We can therefore follow the same way of reasoning as before (see also [46]) to get (6.16). The proof is completed. □

The estimate (6.16) (for $r > 2$) is concerned with the higher integrability of the sequence $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ so that we can make use of it together with the Vitali's theorem. However this will become precise in the next subsection. Now, in order to prove the tightness property of the sequence of probability laws of $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, we will also need the

Proposition 10. *Assuming that the function $t \mapsto \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)$ is extended by zero outside the interval $[0, T]$, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{|\theta|\leq\delta}\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t+\theta) - \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^{p'}_{V'} dt \leq C\delta^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.

Proof. Assume $\theta \geq 0$. The same way of reasoning will apply for $\theta < 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t+\theta) - \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t) &= \int_t^{t+\theta} d\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s) \\
&= \int_t^{t+\theta} \left[-P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s) - \mathcal{A}^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s) - B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)) + \mathbf{f}(s)\right]ds \\
&\quad + \int_t^{t+\theta} g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))dW(s),
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t+\theta)-\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\right\|_{V'}^{p'} &\leq C\left\|\int_t^{t+\theta}P^\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)ds\right\|_{V'}^{p'}+C\left\|\int_t^{t+\theta}\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)ds\right\|_{V'}^{p'}\\ &\quad +C\left\|\int_t^{t+\theta}B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))ds\right\|_{V'}^{p'}+C\left\|\int_t^{t+\theta}\mathbf{f}(s))ds\right\|_{V'}^{p'}\\ &\quad +C\left\|\int_t^{t+\theta}\int_t^{t+\theta}g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))dW(s)\right\|_{V'}^{p'}\\ &= I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4+I_5.\end{aligned}$$

But

$$I_1\leq C\left(\int_t^{t+\theta}\left\|P^\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{V'}ds\right)^{p'}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_t^{t+\theta}\left\|P^\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{V'}ds &\leq C\int_t^{t+\theta}\left\|P^\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{H^{-1}(Q)^N}ds\\ &\leq C\theta^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_t^{t+\theta}\left\|P^\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{H^{-1}(Q)^N}^{p'}ds\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &\leq C\theta^{\frac{p'}{p}}\int_t^{t+\theta}\left\|P^\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{H^{-1}(Q)^N}^{p'}ds\\ &\leq C\theta^{\frac{p'}{p}}\int_t^{t+\theta}\left(1+\left\|P^\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{H^{-1}(Q)^N}^{2}\right)ds \text{ since } p'<2\\ &\leq C\theta^{\frac{p'}{p}}\int_t^{t+\theta}\left\|P^\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{H^{-1}(Q)^N}^{2}ds\\ &\leq C\delta^{\frac{p'}{p}}\int_t^{t+\theta}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{H_0^1(Q)^N}^{2}ds.\end{aligned}$$

We infer from (6.17) that

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq\theta\leq\delta}\int_0^T I_1dt\leq C\delta^{\frac{p'}{p}}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\left(\int_t^{t+\delta}\left\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{H_0^1(Q)^N}^{2}ds\right)dt\leq C\delta^{\frac{p'}{p}}.$$

As for I_2 we have, as above,

$$I_2\leq C\theta^{\frac{p'}{p}}\int_t^{t+\theta}\left\|\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{V'}^{p'}ds,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq\theta\leq\delta}\int_0^T I_2dt &\leq C\delta^{\frac{p'}{p}}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T\left(\int_t^{t+\delta}\left\|D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\right\|_{L^p(Q)}^{p}ds\right)dt\\ &\leq C\delta^{\frac{p'}{p}} \text{ by (6.18).}\end{aligned}$$

Now, dealing with I_3 we have

$$\int_t^{t+\theta} \|B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))\|_{V'}\, ds \leq \theta^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_t^{t+\theta} \|B(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))\|_{V'}^{p'}\, ds,$$

and proceeding as above (taking into account (6.13) and (6.18)) we get

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\leq\theta\leq\delta} \int_0^T I_3 dt \leq C\delta^{\frac{p'}{p}}.$$

We also have $\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq\theta\leq\delta}\int_0^T I_4 dt \leq C\delta^{\frac{p'}{p}}$. For the last integral, by using the Burkhölder-Davis-Gundy's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\leq\theta\leq\delta} \int_0^T I_5 dt &\leq C\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left(\int_t^{t+\theta} \|g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s))\|_{L^2(Q)}^2\, ds\right)^{\frac{p'}{2}} dt \\
&\leq C\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left(\int_t^{t+\theta} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2(Q)}^2\, ds\right)^{\frac{p'}{2}} dt \quad \text{(by (6.8))} \\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{p'}{2}} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\sup_{0\leq s\leq T} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(s)\|_{L^2(Q)}^{p'}\, dt \\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{p'}{2}} \text{ by (6.16).}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all the above estimates leads at once at

$$E \sup_{0\leq\theta\leq\delta} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t+\theta) - \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{V'}^{p'}\, dt \leq C\delta^{\frac{p'}{p}}$$

since $\delta^{\frac{p'}{2}} \leq \delta^{\frac{p'}{p}}$ (recall that $p \geq 3$ and $0 < \delta < 1$). As the same inequality obviously holds for $\theta < 0$, the proof is completed. □

6.3. **Tightness property of the probability laws of $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$.** We are now able to prove the tightness of the law of $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, W)$. We shall for this aim, follow the lead of Bensoussan [8] and Debussche et al. [18]. Before we can proceed any further, we need the following important result.

Lemma 6 ([8])**.** *Let $(\mu_n)_n$ and $(\nu_n)_n$ be two ordinary sequences of positive real numbers such that $\mu_n, \nu_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For the three positive constants K, L and M, the set*

$$\begin{aligned}
Z = \{\mathbf{u} : &\textstyle\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}\|_V^p\, dt \leq L,\ \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_H^2 \leq K \text{ a.e. } t, \\
&\textstyle\sup_{|\theta|\leq\mu_n} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(t+\theta) - \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{V'}^{p'}\, dt \leq \nu_n M \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}
\end{aligned}$$

is a compact subset of $L^2(0,T;H)$.

This being so, set $\mathfrak{S} = L^2(0,T;H) \times \mathcal{C}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$, a metric space equipped with its Borel σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{S})$. For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, let Ψ_ε be the measurable $\mathfrak{S}$-valued mapping defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ as

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(\omega) = (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot,\omega), W(\cdot,\omega)) \ (\omega \in \Omega).$$

We introduce the image of $\mathbb{P}$ under Ψ_ε defined by

$$\pi_\varepsilon(S) = \mathbb{P}(\Psi_\varepsilon^{-1}(S)) \ \ (S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{S})),$$

which defines a sequence of probability measures on $\mathfrak{S}$. The following result holds.

Theorem 23. *The sequence* $(\pi_\varepsilon)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ *is tight in* $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{S}))$.

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ and let L_δ, K_δ, M_δ be positive constants depending only on δ (to be fixed later). We have by Lemma 6 that

$$Z_\delta = \left\{ \mathbf{u} : \int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}\|_V^p \, dt \leq L_\delta, \ \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_H^2 \leq K_\delta \text{ a.e. } t, \ \sup_{|\theta| \leq \mu_n} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(t+\theta) - \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{V'}^{p'} \leq \nu_n M_\delta \right\}$$

is a compact subset of $L^p(0, T; H)$ for any $\delta > 0$. Here we choose the sequence $(\mu_n)_n$ and $(\nu_n)_n$ so that $\sum \frac{1}{\nu_n} (\mu_n)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} < \infty$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \notin Z_\delta\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_V^p \, dt \geq L_\delta \right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_H^2 \geq K_\delta \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{|\theta| \leq \mu_n} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t+\theta) - \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{V'}^{p'} \, dt \geq \nu_n M_\delta \right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Tchebychev's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \notin Z_\delta) &\leq \frac{1}{L_\delta} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_V^p \, dt + \frac{1}{K_\delta} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_H^2 \\ &\quad + \sum \frac{1}{\nu_n M_\delta} \mathbb{E} \sup_{|\theta| \leq \mu_n} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t+\theta) - \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{V'}^{p'} \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

From Propositions 9 and 10 it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \in Z_\delta) \leq \frac{C}{L_\delta} + \frac{C}{K_\delta} + \frac{C}{M_\delta} \sum_n \frac{1}{\nu_n} (\mu_n)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

So if we choose

$$K_\delta = L_\delta = \frac{6C}{\delta} \text{ and } M_\delta = \frac{6C \left(\sum_n \frac{1}{\nu_n} (\mu_n)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)}{\delta},$$

then we have are led to

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \notin Z_\delta\right) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}. \tag{6.23}$$

Next, considering the sequence of probability measures $\pi_2^\varepsilon(A) := \mathbb{P}(W \in A)$ $(A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)))$, it consists of only one element, hence it is weakly compact. As $\mathcal{C}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a Polish space, any weakly compact sequence of probability measure is tight, so that, given $\delta > 0$ there is a compact subset C_δ of $\mathcal{C}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\mathbb{P}(W \in C_\delta) \geq 1 - \delta/2$. We infer from this together with (6.22) that

$$\mathbb{P}\left((\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, W) \in Z_\delta \times C_\delta\right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

So we have just checked that for any $\delta > 0$ there is a compact $Z_\delta \times C_\delta \subset \mathfrak{S}$ such that

$$\pi_\varepsilon(Z_\delta \times C_\delta) \geq 1 - \delta,$$

by this proving the tightness of the family π_ε is tight in $\mathfrak{S} = L^p(0, T; H) \times \mathcal{C}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^m)$. ☐

It follows from Theorem 23 and Prokhorov's theorem that there is a subsequence $(\pi_{\varepsilon_n})_n$ of $(\pi_\varepsilon)_{0<\varepsilon<1}$ converging weakly (in the sense of measure) to a probability measure Π. It emerges from Skorokhod's theorem that we can find a new probability space $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$ and random variables $(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n}, W^{\varepsilon_n})$, $(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{W})$ defined on this new probability space and taking values in $\mathfrak{S} = L^p(0,T;H) \times \mathcal{C}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that:

(i) The probability law of $(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n}, W^{\varepsilon_n})$ is π_{ε_n};
(ii) The probability law of $(\mathbf{u}_0, \bar{W})$ is Π;
(iii) As $n \to \infty$,

$$W^{\varepsilon_n} \to \bar{W} \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m) \ \bar{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s.} \tag{6.24}$$

and

(iv) As $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n} \to \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } L^2(0,T;H) \ \bar{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s.} \tag{6.25}$$

We can see that $\{W^{\varepsilon_n}\}$ is a sequence of m-dimensional standard Brownian motions. Let $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^t$ be the σ-algebra generated by $(\bar{W}(s), \mathbf{u}_0(s))$ $(0 \leq s \leq t)$ and the null sets of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$. Arguing as in [8] we can show that $\bar{W}$ is an $\bar{\mathcal{F}}^t$-adapted standard $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued Wiener process. Also by the same argument as in [9] we can show that, for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$ and for almost every $(\omega, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0,T]$ the following holds true

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n}(t), \mathbf{v}) + \textstyle\int_0^t (P^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n}(s) + \mathcal{A}^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n}(s) + B(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n}(s)), \mathbf{v})\, ds &= \big(\mathbf{u}^0, \mathbf{v}\big) \\ + \textstyle\int_0^t (\mathbf{f}(s), \mathbf{v})\, ds + \int_0^t (g^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n}(s), \mathbf{v})\, dW^{\varepsilon_n}(s).&\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

6.4. **Homogenization results.**

6.4.1. ***Abstract formulation of the problem and preliminary results.*** We begin this subsection by stating some important preliminary results necessary to the homogenization process. The notations are those of the preceding sections. It is worth noting that property (3.7) in Definition 4 still valid for $f \in B(\Omega; \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}_T; B_A^{p',\infty}))$ where $B_A^{p',\infty} = B_A^{p'} \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{y,\tau})$ and as usual, $p' = p/(p-1)$.

Bearing this in mind, the question of homogenization of (6.14) will naturally arise from the following important assumption:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} b \in B_A^\infty \text{ and } a_{ij}, \ g_k(\cdot,\cdot,\mu) \in B_A^2, 1 \leq i,j \leq N, 1 \leq k \leq m \\ \text{for any } \mu \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{array} \right. \tag{6.27}$$

where $g = (g_k)_{1 \leq k \leq m}$.

The above hypothesis, which depends on the algebra wmv A, is crucial in homogenization theory. It gives the structure of the coefficients of the operator under consideration, and therefore allows one to pass to the limit. Without such a hypothesis, one cannot perform the homogenization since the convergence process relies heavily on the latter. The commonly assumption used is the periodicity (obtained by taking the algebra to be the continuous periodic functions). Hypothesis (6.27) includes a variety of behaviours, ranging from the periodicity to the weak almost periodicity (as far as the ergodic algebras are concerned), and also encompassing all the non ergodic algebras wmv. In this regard, this is a true advance in the homogenization theory, regardless the applications either to PDEs or to SPDEs.

Let $\Psi \in B(\Omega; \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}_T; (A)^{N\times N}))$. Suppose that (6.27) is satisfied. It can be shown (as in [42]) that the function $(x,t,y,\tau,\omega) \mapsto b(y,\tau,\Psi(x,t,y,\tau,\omega))$, denoted below by $b(\cdot,\Psi)$, belongs to $B(\Omega; \mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}_T; B_A^{p',\infty}))^{N\times N}$; assumption (6.27) is crucially used in order to obtain the above result. Likewise, the function $(x,t,y,\tau,\omega) \mapsto$

$g_k(y,\tau,\psi_0(x,t,\omega))$ (for $\psi_0 \in B(\Omega;\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}_T;(A)^N))$) denoted by $g_k(\cdot,\psi_0)$, is an element of $B(\Omega;\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}_T;B_A^{2,\infty}))$. We may then define their traces

$$(x,t,\omega) \mapsto b\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon},\Psi\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon},\omega\right)\right)$$

and

$$(x,t,\omega) \mapsto g_k\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon},\psi_0\left(x,t,\omega\right)\right)$$

from $Q_T \times \Omega$ into $\mathbb{R}$, denoted respectively by $b^\varepsilon(\cdot,\Psi^\varepsilon)$ and $g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\psi_0)$, as elements of $L^\infty(Q_T\times\Omega)$. The following result and its corollary can be proven exactly as its homologue in [32].

Proposition 11. *Let* $3 \leq p < \infty$. *Suppose* (6.27) *holds. For* $\Psi \in B(\Omega;\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}_T;(A)^{N\times N}))$ *we have*

$$b^\varepsilon(\cdot,\Psi^\varepsilon) \to b(\cdot,\Psi) \text{ in } L^{p'}(Q_T\times\Omega)^{N\times N}\text{-weak } \Sigma \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

The mapping $\Psi \mapsto b(\cdot,\Psi)$ *of* $B(\Omega;\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}_T;(A)^{N\times N}))$ *into* $L^{p'}(Q_T\times\Omega;B_A^{p'})^{N\times N}$ *extends by continuity to a unique mapping still denoted by* b, *of* $L^p(Q_T\times\Omega;(B_A^p)^{N\times N})$ *into* $L^{p'}(Q_T\times\Omega;B_A^{p'})^{N\times N}$ *such that*

$$(b(\cdot,\mathbf{v})-b(\cdot,\mathbf{w}))\cdot(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w}) \geq \nu_1\left|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w}\right|^p \text{ a.e. in } Q_T\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_y^N\times\mathbb{R}_\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|b(\cdot,\mathbf{v})-b(\cdot,\mathbf{w})\right\|_{L^{p'}(Q_T\times\Omega;B_A^{p'})^{N\times N}}\\ &\quad\leq \nu_2\left\|\left|\mathbf{v}\right|+\left|\mathbf{w}\right|\right\|_{L^p(Q_T\times\Omega;B_A^p)}^{p-2}\left\|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w}\right\|_{L^p(Q_T\times\Omega;(B_A^p)^{N\times N})}\end{aligned}$$

$$b(\cdot,0)=0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}_y^N\times\mathbb{R}_\tau$$

for all $\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w} \in L^p(Q_T\times\Omega;(B_A^p)^{N\times N})$.

Corollary 3. *Let* $\psi_0 \in (B(\Omega)\otimes\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q_T))^N$ *and* $\psi_1 \in (B(\Omega)\otimes\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q_T)\otimes A^\infty)^N$. *For* $\varepsilon > 0$, *let*

$$\Phi_\varepsilon = \psi_0 + \varepsilon\psi_1^\varepsilon, \tag{6.28}$$

i.e., $\Phi_\varepsilon(x,t,\omega) = \psi_0(x,t,\omega)+\varepsilon\psi_1(x,t,x/\varepsilon,t/\varepsilon,\omega)$ *for* $(x,t,\omega)\in Q_T\times\Omega$. *Let* $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ *is a sequence in* $L^p(Q_T\times\Omega)^{N\times N}$ *such that* $v_\varepsilon \to v_0$ *in* $L^p(Q_T\times\Omega)^{N\times N}$*-weak* Σ *as* $E\ni\varepsilon\to0$ *where* $\mathbf{v}_0 \in L^p(Q_T\times\Omega;\mathcal{B}_A^p)^{N\times N}$, *then, as* $E\ni\varepsilon\to0$,

$$\int_{Q_T\times\Omega} b^\varepsilon(\cdot,D\Phi_\varepsilon)\cdot v_\varepsilon dxdtd\mathbb{P} \to \iint_{Q_T\times\Omega\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{b}(\cdot,u_0,D\psi_0+\partial\widehat{\psi}_1)\cdot\widehat{v}_0 dxdtd\mathbb{P}d\beta.$$

Also we will need the following important result in order to pass to the limit in the stochastic term.

Lemma 7. *Let* $(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ *be a sequence in* $L^2(Q_T\times\Omega)^N$ *such that* $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{u}_0$ *in* $L^2(Q_T\times\Omega)^N$ *as* $\varepsilon\to0$ *where* $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2(Q_T\times\Omega)^N$. *Then for each* $1\leq k\leq m$ *we have,*

$$g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \to g_k(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_0) \text{ in } L^2(Q_T\times\Omega)\text{-weak } \Sigma \text{ as } \varepsilon\to0.$$

Proof. First of all, let $\mathbf{u}\in B(\Omega;\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}_T))^N$; as seen above, the function $(x,t,y,\tau,\omega)\mapsto g_k(y,\tau,\mathbf{u}(x,t,\omega))$ lies in $B(\Omega;\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}_T;B_A^{2,\infty}))$, so that we have $g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u})\to g_k(\cdot,\mathbf{u})$ in $L^2(Q_T\times\Omega)$-weak Σ as $\varepsilon\to0$. Next, since $B(\Omega;\mathcal{C}(\overline{Q}_T))$ is dense in $L^2(Q_T\times\Omega)$, it can be easily shown that

$$g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_0) \to g_k(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_0) \text{ in } L^2(Q_T\times\Omega)\text{-weak } \Sigma \text{ as } \varepsilon\to0. \tag{6.29}$$

Now, let $f \in L^2(\Omega; L^2(Q_T; A))$; then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q_T\times\Omega} g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) f^\varepsilon dxdtd\mathbb{P} - \iint_{Q_T\times\Omega\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{g}_k(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_0)\widehat{f} dxdtd\mathbb{P}d\beta \\ = &\int_{Q_T\times\Omega} (g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_0)) f^\varepsilon dxdtd\mathbb{P} + \int_{Q_T\times\Omega} g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_0) f^\varepsilon dxdtd\mathbb{P} \\ &- \iint_{Q_T\times\Omega\times\Delta(A)} \widehat{g}_k(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_0)\widehat{f} dxdtd\mathbb{P}d\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$\left| \int_{Q_T\times\Omega} (g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_0)) f^\varepsilon dxdtd\mathbb{P} \right| \leq C \left\| \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_0 \right\|_{L^2(Q_T\times\Omega)^N} \left\| f^\varepsilon \right\|_{L^2(Q_T\times\Omega)}$$

in conjunction with (6.29) leads at once to the result. □

Remark 8. In view of the Lipschitz property on the function g_k we may get more information on the limit of the sequence $g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)$. Indeed, since $|g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_0)| \leq C\,|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_0|$, we deduce the following convergence result:

$$g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \to \widetilde{g}_k(\mathbf{u}_0) \ \textit{in } L^2(Q_T\times\Omega) \ \textit{as } \varepsilon \to 0$$

where $\widetilde{g}_k(\mathbf{u}_0)(x,t,\omega) = \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{g}_k(s,s_0,\mathbf{u}_0(x,t,\omega))d\beta$, so that we can derive the existence of a subsequence of $g_k^\varepsilon(\cdot,\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)$ that converges a.e. in $Q_T\times\Omega$ to $\widetilde{g}_k(\mathbf{u}_0)$. For the sequel we shall need the following function: $\widetilde{g}(\mathbf{u}_0) = (\widetilde{g}_k(\mathbf{u}_0))_{1\leq k\leq m}$.

We end this subsection by collecting here below some function spaces that we will make use in the sequel. We begin by noting that the space $B(\bar{\Omega})\otimes\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(0,T)\otimes\mathcal{V}$ is dense in $L^p(\bar{\Omega}; L^p(0,T;V))$. Next, let the space

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{div}}^{1,p} = \{\mathbf{u} \in (\mathcal{B}_{\#A_y}^{1,p})^N : \ \overline{\mathrm{div}}_y \mathbf{u} = 0\}$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{div}}_y \mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^N \overline{\partial} u^i/\partial y_i$, and its smooth counterpart

$$A_{y,\mathrm{div}}^\infty = \{\mathbf{u} \in (\mathcal{D}_{A_y}(\mathbb{R}^N)/I_{A_y}^p)^N : \ \overline{\mathrm{div}}_y \mathbf{u} = 0\}.$$

The following result holds.

Lemma 8. *The space* $\varrho_y^N(A_{y,\mathrm{div}}^\infty)$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{div}}^{1,p}$ *where, for* $\mathbf{u} = (u^i)_{1\leq i\leq N} \in (A_y^\infty)^N$ *we have* $\varrho_y^N(\mathbf{u}) = (\varrho_y(u^i))_{1\leq i\leq N}$, ϱ_y *being the canonical mapping of* $B_{A_y}^p$ *into its separated completion* $\mathcal{B}_{A_y}^p$.

Proof. This follows exactly in a same way as the proof of [60, Lemma 2.3]. □

Now, let

$$\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p} = L^p(\bar{\Omega}\times(0,T);V) \times L^p(Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}; \mathcal{B}_{A_\tau}^p(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{div}}^{1,p}))$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_0^\infty = [B(\bar{\Omega})\otimes\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(0,T)\otimes\mathcal{V}] \times \left[B(\bar{\Omega})\otimes\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q_T)\otimes\left(\mathcal{D}_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau)\otimes\varrho_y^N(A_{y,\mathrm{div}}^\infty)\right)\right].$$

Thanks to Lemma 8 we have the density of $\mathcal{F}_0^\infty$ in $\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$.

6.4.2. ***Homogenized problem.*** Let $(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n})_n$ be the sequence determined in the Subsection 6.3 and satisfying Eq. (6.26). Because of (6.26) the sequence $(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n})_n$ also satisfies the a priori estimates (6.16), (6.17) and (6.18). Therefore, owing to the estimate (6.16) (which yields the uniform integrability of the sequence $(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n})_n$ with respect to ω) and the Vitali's theorem, we deduce from (6.25) that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n} \to \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } L^2(\bar{\Omega}; L^2(0,T;H))$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n} \to \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } L^2(Q_T \times \bar{\Omega})^N \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{6.30}$$

In view of (6.18) and by the diagonal process, one can find a subsequence of $(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n})_n$ (not relabeled) which weakly converges in $L^p(\bar{\Omega}; L^p(0,T;V))$ to the function $\mathbf{u}_0$ (this means that $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^p(\bar{\Omega}; L^p(0,T;V))$). From Theorem 12, we infer the existence of a function $\mathbf{u}_1 = (u_1^k)_{1\leq k\leq N} \in L^p(Q_T \times \bar{\Omega}; \mathcal{B}^p_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_{\#A_y})^N)$ such that the convergence result

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon_n}}{\partial x_i} \to \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\overline{\partial} \mathbf{u}_1}{\partial y_i} \text{ in } L^p(Q_T \times \bar{\Omega})^N\text{-weak } \Sigma \ (1 \leq i \leq N) \tag{6.31}$$

holds when $\varepsilon_n \to 0$. We recall that $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_0}{\partial x_i} = \left(\frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_i}\right)_{1\leq k\leq N}$ $(\mathbf{u}_0 = (u_0^k)_{1\leq k\leq N})$ and $\frac{\overline{\partial} \mathbf{u}_1}{\partial y_i} = \left(\frac{\overline{\partial} u_1^k}{\partial y_i}\right)_{1\leq k\leq N}$. Now, let us consider the following functionals:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{a}_I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \quad &= \quad \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} \iint_{Q_T \times \bar{\Omega} \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{a}_{ij}(s, s_0) \mathbb{D}_j u^k \mathbb{D}_i v^k \, dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}d\beta \\ &\qquad + \iint_{Q_T \times \bar{\Omega} \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{b}(s, s_0, \mathbb{D}\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbb{D}\mathbf{v} \, dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}d\beta \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{D}_j u^k = \frac{\partial u_0^k}{\partial x_j} + \partial_j \widehat{u}_1^k$ $\left(\partial_j \widehat{u}_1^k = \mathcal{G}_1\left(\frac{\overline{\partial} u_1^k}{\partial y_j}\right)\right.$, and the same definition for $\mathbb{D}_i v^k)$ and $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{u} = (\mathbb{D}_j \mathbf{u})_{1\leq j\leq N}$ with $\mathbb{D}_j \mathbf{u} = (\mathbb{D}_j u^k)_{1\leq k\leq N}$;

$$\widehat{b}_I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i,k=1}^{N} \iint_{Q_T \times \bar{\Omega}} u_0^i \frac{\partial v_0^k}{\partial x_i} w_0^k \, dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}$$

for $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1), \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1), \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_1) \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$. The functionals $\widehat{a}_I$ and $\widehat{b}_I$ are well-defined. Next, associated to these functionals is the variational problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1) \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p} : \\ -\int_{Q_T \times \bar{\Omega}} \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_0' dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} + \widehat{a}_I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{\Phi}) + \widehat{b}_I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{\Phi}) \\ \quad = \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t, \omega)) \, dtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} + \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \int_0^T (\widetilde{g}(\mathbf{u}_0), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0) \, d\bar{W} d\bar{\mathbb{P}} \\ \text{for all } \Phi = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \boldsymbol{\psi}_1) \in \mathcal{F}_0^\infty. \end{array} \right. \tag{6.32}$$

The following *global* homogenization result holds.

Theorem 24. *The couple* $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ *determined by* (6.30)-(6.31) *solves problem* (6.32)*.*

Proof. In what follows, we drop the index n from the sequence ε_n. So we will merely write ε for ε_n. Now, from the equality $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = 0$ we easily obtain that $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$ and $\overline{\operatorname{div}}_y \mathbf{u}_1 = 0$, hence $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1) \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$. It remains to show that $\mathbf{u}$

solve (6.32). For that, let $\mathbf{\Phi} = (\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, \varrho^N(\boldsymbol{\psi}_1)) \in \mathcal{F}_0^\infty$; define $\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon$ as in Corollary 3 (see (6.28) therein), that is, as follows:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon(x,t) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(x,t,\omega) + \varepsilon\boldsymbol{\psi}_1\left(x, t, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \omega\right) \text{ for } (x,t) \in Q_T \times \bar{\Omega}.$$

Then we have $\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon \in (B(\bar{\Omega}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q_T))^N$ and, using $\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon$ as a test function in the variational formulation of (6.26) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -\int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} + \int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} a^\varepsilon D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot D\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} \qquad (6.33) \\ & + \int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} b^\varepsilon(\cdot, D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \cdot D\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} + \int_{\bar{\Omega}}\int_0^T b_I(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon) dtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} \\ = \; & \int_0^T \int_{\bar{\Omega}} (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon)\, dtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} + \int_0^T \int_{\bar{\Omega}} (g^\varepsilon(\cdot, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon), \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon)\, dW^\varepsilon d\bar{\mathbb{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

We pass to the limit in (6.33) by considering each term separately. First we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} \;\; = \;\; & \int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_0}{\partial t} dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} + \varepsilon \int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_1}{\partial t}\right)^\varepsilon dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} \\ & + \int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_1}{\partial \tau}\right)^\varepsilon dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

But in view of (6.30) coupling with the convergence result $(\partial\boldsymbol{\psi}_1/\partial\tau)^\varepsilon \to M(\partial\boldsymbol{\psi}_1/\partial\tau) = 0$ in $L^2(Q_T \times \bar{\Omega})^N$-weak, we obtain

$$\int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} \to \int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\psi}_0}{\partial t} dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}.$$

Next, it is an usual well known fact that, using the convergence result (6.30) together with the weak Σ-convergence of the sequence $(D\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ to $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{\Phi}$, we get

$$\int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} a^\varepsilon D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot D\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} \to \widehat{a}_I(\mathbf{u}, \Phi).$$

Considering the next term, we use the monotonicity property to have

$$\int_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}} (b^\varepsilon(\cdot, D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - b^\varepsilon(\cdot, D\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon)) \cdot (D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - D\mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon) dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} \geq 0. \qquad (6.34)$$

Owing to the estimate (6.18) (denoting by $\overline{\mathbb{E}}$ the mathematical expectation on $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$) we infer that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \overline{\mathbb{E}} \left\| b^\varepsilon(\cdot, D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)\right\|^{p'}_{L^{p'}(Q_T)^{N\times N}} < \infty,$$

so that, from Theorem 11, there exist a function $\chi \in L^{p'}(Q_T \times \bar{\Omega}; \mathcal{B}_A^{p'})^{N\times N}$ and a subsequence of ε not relabeled, such that $b^\varepsilon(\cdot, D\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \to \chi$ in $L^{p'}(Q_T \times \bar{\Omega})^{N\times N}$-weak Σ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We therefore pass to the limit in (6.34) (as $\varepsilon \to 0$) using Corollary 3 to get

$$\iint_{Q_T\times\bar{\Omega}\times\Delta(A)} (\widehat{\chi} - \widehat{b}(\cdot, \mathbb{D}\Phi)) \cdot (\mathbb{D}\mathbf{u} - \mathbb{D}\mathbf{\Phi}) dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}d\beta \geq 0 \qquad (6.35)$$

for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_0^\infty$ where, as above, $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{u} = D\mathbf{u}_0 + \partial\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_1$ ($\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$) and $\mathbb{D}\mathbf{\Phi} = D\boldsymbol{\psi}_0 + \partial\widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1$. By the density of $\mathcal{F}_0^\infty$ in $\mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$ and by a continuity argument, (6.35) still holds for $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$. Hence by taking $\mathbf{\Phi} = \mathbf{u} + \lambda \mathbf{v}$ for $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}$ and $\lambda > 0$ arbitrarily fixed, we get

$$\lambda \iint_{Q_T \times \bar{\Omega} \times \Delta(A)} (\widehat{\chi} - \widehat{b}(\cdot, \mathbb{D}\mathbf{u} + \lambda \mathbb{D}\mathbf{v})) \cdot \mathbb{D}\mathbf{v} dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}d\beta \geq 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_0^{1,p}.$$

Therefore by a mere routine, we deduce that $\chi = b(\cdot, D\mathbf{u}_0 + \overline{D}_y \mathbf{u}_1)$.

The next point to check is to compute the $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \int_0^T b_I(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon) dtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}$. We claim that, as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_{\bar{\Omega}} \int_0^T b_I(\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon) dtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} \to \widehat{b}_I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{\Phi}).$$

Indeed, by the strong convergence result (6.30) in conjunction with the Theorem 7, our claim is justified.

We obviously have that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\bar{\Omega}} (\mathbf{f}(t), \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon(t, \omega)) \, dtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} \to \int_0^T \int_{\bar{\Omega}} (\mathbf{f}(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t, \omega)) \, dtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}.$$

The last point is concerned with the stochastic part $\int_0^T \int_{\bar{\Omega}} (g^\varepsilon(\cdot, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon), \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon) \, dW^\varepsilon d\bar{\mathbb{P}}$.

But thanks to Remark 8 we get at once

$$\int_0^T \int_{\bar{\Omega}} (g^\varepsilon(\cdot, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon), \mathbf{\Phi}_\varepsilon) \, dW^\varepsilon d\bar{\mathbb{P}} \to \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \int_0^T (\widetilde{g}(\mathbf{u}_0), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0) \, d\bar{W} d\bar{\mathbb{P}}.$$

It emerges from the above study that $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1)$ satisfies (6.32). □

In order to derive the homogenized problem, we need to deal with an equivalent expression of problem (6.32). As we can see, this problem is equivalent to the following system (6.36)-(6.37) reading as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \iint_{Q_T \times \bar{\Omega} \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{a} \mathbb{D}\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1 dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}d\beta + \iint_{Q_T \times \bar{\Omega} \times \Delta(A)} \widehat{b}(\cdot, \mathbb{D}\mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial \widehat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1 dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}}d\beta = 0 \\ \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \in B(\bar{\Omega}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q_T) \otimes [\mathcal{D}_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau) \otimes \varrho_y^N(A_{y,\mathrm{div}}^\infty)]; \end{array} \right. \tag{6.36}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} - \int_{Q_T \times \bar{\Omega}} \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_0' dxdtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} + \widehat{a}_I(\mathbf{u}, (\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, 0)) + \widehat{b}_I(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}, (\boldsymbol{\psi}_0, 0)) \\ \quad = \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}(t), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0(t, \omega)) \, dtd\bar{\mathbb{P}} + \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \int_0^T (\widetilde{g}(\mathbf{u}_0), \boldsymbol{\psi}_0) \, d\bar{W} d\bar{\mathbb{P}} \\ \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\psi}_0 \in B(\bar{\Omega}) \otimes \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(0, T) \otimes \mathcal{V}. \end{array} \right. \tag{6.37}$$

It is an easy matter to deal with (6.36). In fact, fix $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and consider the following cell problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pi(\xi) \in \mathcal{B}_{A_\tau}^p(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{div}}^{1,p}) : \\ \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{a}(\xi + \partial \widehat{\pi}(\xi)) \cdot \partial \widehat{w} d\beta + \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{b}(\cdot, \xi + \partial \widehat{\pi}(\xi)) \cdot \partial \widehat{w} d\beta = 0 \\ \text{for all } w \in \mathcal{B}_{A_\tau}^p(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{div}}^{1,p}). \end{array} \right. \tag{6.38}$$

Due to the properties of the functions a and b, Eq. (6.38) admits at least a solution (see e.g., [29]). But if $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\xi)$ and $\pi_2 = \pi_2(\xi)$ are two solutions of (6.38) then, setting $\pi = \pi_1 - \pi_2$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{a} \partial \widehat{\pi} \cdot \partial \widehat{w} d\beta + \int_{\Delta(A)} (\widehat{b}(\cdot, \xi + \partial \widehat{\pi}_1) - \widehat{b}(\cdot, \xi + \partial \widehat{\pi}_2)) \cdot \partial \widehat{w} d\beta = 0 \\ \text{for all } w \in \mathcal{B}_{A_\tau}^p(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{div}}^{1,p}). \end{array} \right.$$

Taking the particular test function $w = \pi$, we are led to

$$\int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{a}\partial\widehat{\pi} \cdot \partial\widehat{\pi} d\beta + \int_{\Delta(A)} (\widehat{b}(\cdot, \xi + \partial\widehat{\pi}_1) - \widehat{b}(\cdot, \xi + \partial\widehat{\pi}_2)) \cdot \partial\widehat{\pi} d\beta = 0,$$

and using once again the properties of a and b (see in particular the Proposition 11), we get

$$\nu_0 \int_{\Delta(A)} |\partial\widehat{\pi}|^2 \, d\beta + \nu_1 \int_{\Delta(A)} |\partial\widehat{\pi}|^p \, d\beta = 0,$$

which gives $\partial\widehat{\pi} = 0$, or equivalently, $\overline{D}_y \pi = 0$. It then follows that $\pi = 0$ since it belong to $\mathcal{B}^p_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; (\mathcal{B}^{1,p}_{\#A_y})^N)$.

Now, choosing $\boldsymbol{\psi}_1 = \phi \otimes \varphi \otimes w$ in (6.36) with $\phi \in B(\bar{\Omega})$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(Q_T)$ and $w \in [\mathcal{D}_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau) \otimes \varrho_y^N(A^\infty_{y,\mathrm{div}})]$, we obtain by disintegration the following equation:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{a}\mathbb{D}\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial\widehat{w} d\beta + \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{b}(\cdot, \mathbb{D}\mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial\widehat{w} d\beta = 0 \\ \text{for all } w \in \mathcal{D}_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau) \otimes \varrho_y^N(A^\infty_{y,\mathrm{div}}). \end{array} \right. \tag{6.39}$$

Coming back to (6.38) we choose there $\xi = D\mathbf{u}_0(x,t,\omega)$ (for arbitrarily fixed $(x,t,\omega) \in Q_T \times \bar{\Omega}$). Comparing the resulting equation with (6.39) and using the density of $\mathcal{D}_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau) \otimes \varrho_y^N(A^\infty_{y,\mathrm{div}})$ in $\mathcal{B}^p_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_{\mathrm{div}})$ we get by the uniqueness of the solution of (6.38) that $\mathbf{u}_1 = \pi(D\mathbf{u}_0)$, where $\pi(D\mathbf{u}_0)$ stands for the function $(x,t,\omega) \mapsto \pi(D\mathbf{u}_0(x,t,\omega))$ defined from $Q_T \times \bar{\Omega}$ into $\mathcal{B}^p_{A_\tau}(\mathbb{R}_\tau; \mathcal{B}^{1,p}_{\mathrm{div}})$. This shows the uniqueness of the solution of (6.36).

As for (6.37), let, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$,

$$M(\xi) = \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{b}(\cdot, \xi + \partial\widehat{\pi}(\xi)) d\beta$$

and

$$\mathsf{m}\xi = \int_{\Delta(A)} \widehat{a}(\xi + \partial\widehat{\pi}(\xi)) d\beta.$$

Then substituting $\mathbf{u}_1 = \pi(D\mathbf{u}_0)$ in (6.37) and choosing there the special test function $\boldsymbol{\psi}_0(x,t,\omega) = \phi(\omega)\chi(t)\varphi(x)$ with $\phi \in B(\bar{\Omega})$, $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(0,T)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$, we quickly obtain by Itô's formula, the macroscopic homogenized problem (which holds as an equality in V')

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\mathbf{u}_0 + (-\operatorname{div}(\mathsf{m}D\mathbf{u}_0) - \operatorname{div} M(D\mathbf{u}_0) + B(\mathbf{u}_0))dt = \mathbf{f}dt + \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{u}_0)d\bar{W} \\ \mathbf{u}_0(0) = \mathbf{u}^0. \end{array} \right. \tag{6.40}$$

Since the above problem is of the same type as (6.14) the existence and the uniqueness of its solution is ensured by the same arguments as (6.14). We therefore have the following

Theorem 25. *Assume that* (6.3)-(6.8) *hold. Moreover suppose that* (6.27) *holds true. Let* $3 \leq p < \infty$. *For each* $\varepsilon > 0$ *let* $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ *be the unique solution of* (6.14) *on a given stochastic system* $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), \mathcal{F}^t, W$ *defined as in Section 4. Then as* $\varepsilon \to 0$*, the whole sequence* $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ *converges in probability to* $\mathbf{u}_0$ *in* $L^2(Q_T)^N$ *(i.e.,* $||\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_0||_{L^2(Q_T)^N}$ *converges to zero in probability) where* $\mathbf{u}_0$ *is the unique strong probabilistic solution of* (6.40) *with* $\bar{W}$ *replaced by* W.

Proof. The proof of this Theorem is copied on that of [38, Theorem 8]. Note that it relies on Lemma 5. ☐

Remark 9. As regard the deterministic setting (i.e. when $g \equiv 0$), this is the first time that the homogenization of (6.14) (and hence (6.2)) is considered. So even in the deterministic framework, our results are new, and we can formulated the deterministic counterpart of Theorem 25 as follows.

Theorem 26. *Assume that* (6.3)-(6.8) *hold. Moreover suppose that* (6.27) *holds true. Let* $3 \leq p < \infty$. *For each* $\varepsilon > 0$ *let* $\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon$ *be the unique solution of* (6.14). *Then as* $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } L^2(Q_T)^N$$

where $\mathbf{u}_0$ *is the unique solution of the following problem (viewed as an equality of functions with values in* V'*)*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_0}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(\mathsf{m} D\mathbf{u}_0) - \operatorname{div} M(D\mathbf{u}_0) + B(\mathbf{u}_0) = \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{u}_0(0) = \mathbf{u}^0. \end{cases}$$

Of course the conclusion of the above theorem can be achieved using less technicalities than as in the present study. We do not prove it. For the reader's convenience, its proof does not use the famous Prokhorov and Skorohod theorems, but a rather less complicated Aubin-Lions' theorem.

6.5. **Some concrete applications of the results of the previous subsection.**

A look at the previous subsection reveals that the homogenization process has been made possible because of the assumption (6.27) which was fundamental in the said subsection. This assumption is formulated in a general fashion encompassing a variety of concrete behaviours as regard the coefficients of the operator involved in (6.2). We aim at providing in this subsection some natural situations leading to the homogenization of (6.2).

Example 3. The homogenization of (6.2) can be achieved under the periodicity assumption

$(6.27)_1$ The functions $b_i(\cdot,\cdot,\lambda)$, a_{ij} and $g_k(\cdot,\cdot,\mu)$ are both periodic of period 1 in each scalar coordinate.
This leads to (6.27) with $A = \mathcal{C}_{\text{per}}(Y \times Z) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{per}}(Y) \odot \mathcal{C}_{\text{per}}(Z)$ (the product algebra, with $Y = (0,1)^N$ and $Z = (0,1)$), and hence $B_A^r = L_{\text{per}}^r(Y \times Z)$ for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$.

Example 4. The above functions in $(6.27)_1$ are both Besicovitch almost periodic in (y,τ). This amounts to (6.27) with $A = AP(\mathbb{R}_{y,\tau}^{N+1}) = AP(\mathbb{R}_y^N) \odot AP(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$ ($AP(\mathbb{R}_y^N)$ the Bohr almost periodic functions on R_y^N).

Example 5. The homogenization problem for (6.2) can be may be considered under the assumption

$(6.27)_2$ $b_i(\cdot,\cdot,\lambda)$ is weakly almost periodic while the functions a_{ij} and $g_k(\cdot,\cdot,\mu)$ are almost periodic in the Besicovitch sense. This yields (6.27) with $A = WAP(\mathbb{R}_y^N) \odot WAP(\mathbb{R}_\tau)$ ($WAP(\mathbb{R}_y^N)$, the algebra of continuous weakly almost periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}_y^N$; see e.g., [20]).

Example 6. Let A_τ be the algebra of Example 2 (see Subsection 5.3). It is known that A_τ is not ergodic [26]. We may however study the homogenization problem for (6.2) under the assumption that

$(6.27)_3$ $b_i(y,\cdot,\lambda) \in B_{A_\tau}^{p'}$ and $b_i(\cdot,\tau,\lambda)$ is weakly almost periodic; a_{ij} is periodic and $g_k(\cdot,\cdot,\mu)$ is almost periodic.

This assumption is more involved. In fact, let $A_{1,\tau}$ be the algebra generated by $AP(\mathbb{R}_\tau) \cup A_\tau$. It is a fact that $A_{1,\tau}$ is an algebra wmv on $\mathbb{R}_\tau$ which is not ergodic. Next, let $A = WAP(\mathbb{R}^N_y) \odot A_{1,\tau}$. Then, also A is not ergodic, and it can be easily shown that (6.27) is satisfied with the above A.

Many other examples can be considered. We may also consider an example involving only non ergodic algebras by taking for example A to be $N+1$ copies of the A_τ's above: $A = A_\tau \odot \ldots \odot A_\tau$, $N+1$ times, which gives a non ergodic algebra on $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$.

References

[1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

[2] L. Ambrosio, H. Frid, J. Silva, Multiscale Young measures in homogenization of continuous stationary processes in compact spaces and applications. J. Funct. Anal. **256** (2009) 1962–1997.

[3] M. Baia, I. Fonseca. Γ-convergence of functionals with periodic integrands via 2-scale convergence, Technical report, 2005.

[4] E. J. Balder, Lectures on Young measures, Preprint no 9517 (1995), CEREMADE, Université Paris-Dauphine, France.

[5] J.M. Ball, A version of the fundamental theorem for Young measures, in Partial differential equations and continuum models of phase transition, eds. M. rascle, D. serre and M. Slemrod, Lecture notes in Physics **344**, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989) 207-215.

[6] M. Barchiesi, Multiscale homogenization of convex functionals with discontinuous integrand, J. Convex Anal. **14** (2007) 205-226.

[7] A. Bensoussan, Homogenization of a class of stochastic partial differential equations, Prog. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. **5** (1991) 47-65.

[8] A. Bensoussan, Some existence results for stochastic partial differential equations, In Partial Differential Equations and Applications (Trento 1990), volume 268 of Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., pp 37–53. Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, UK, 1992.

[9] A. Bensoussan, Stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, Acta Appl. Math., 38:267–304, 1995.

[10] A.S. Besicovitch, Almost periodic functions, Cambridge, Dover Publications, 1954.

[11] J. Blot, Oscillations presque périodiques forcées d'équations d'Euler-Lagrange, Bull. Soc. Math. France **122** (1994) 285-304.

[12] H. Bohr, Almost periodic functions, Chelsea, New York, 1947.

[13] N. Bourbaki, Topologie générale, Chap. 1-4, Hermann, Paris, 1971.

[14] A. Bourgeat, A. Mikelić, S. Wright, Stochastic two-scale convergence in the mean and applications, J. Reine Angew. Math. **456** (1994) 19-51.

[15] J. Casado Diaz and I.Gayte, The two-scale convergence method applied to generalized Besicovitch spaces, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **458** (2002), 2925-2946.

[16] C. Castaings and M. Valadier, Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions, Lecture Notes in Math. **580** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977).

[17] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[18] A. Debussche, N. Glatt-Holtz, R. Temam, Local martingale and pathwise solution for an abstract fluid model, Physica D. **240** (2011) 1123-1144.

[19] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwartz, Linear operators, Parts I and II, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1958, 1963.

[20] W.F. Eberlein, Abstract ergodic theorems and weak almost periodic functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1949) 217-240.

[21] I. Ekeland, R. Temam, Convex analysis and variational problems, Studies in Math. and its Applications, Vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.

[22] I. Fonseca, E. Zappale, Multiscale relaxation of convex functionals, J. Convex Anal. **10** (2003) 325–350.

[23] I. Gyongy, N. Krylov, Existence of strong solution of Itô's stochastic equations via approximations, Probab. Theory and Related Fields **105** (1996) 143–158.

[24] N. Ichihara, Homogenization problem for partial differential equations of Zakai type, Stochastics and Stochastics Rep. **76** (2004) 243-266.

[25] N. Ichihara, Homogenization for stochastic partial differential equations derived from nonlinear filterings with feedback, J. Math. Soc. Japan **57** (2005) 593-603.

[26] V.V. Jikov, S.M. Kozlov, O.A. Oleinik, Homogenization of differential operators and integral functionals, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[27] G. Kallianpur, J. Xiong, Stochastic Differential equations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces, Volume 26 of IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 1995.

[28] R. Larsen, Banach algebras, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.

[29] J.L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Dunod, Paris, 1969.

[30] G. Nguetseng, Homogenization structures and applications I, Z. Anal. Anwen. **22** (2003) 73-107.

[31] G. Nguetseng, H. Nnang and J.L. Woukeng, Deterministic homogenization of integral functionals with convex integrands, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. NoDEA **17** (2010), 757-781.

[32] G. Nguetseng, J.L. Woukeng, Deterministic homogenization of parabolic monotone operators with time dependent coefficients, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **2004** (2004) 1-23.

[33] G. Nguetseng, J. L. Woukeng, Σ-convergence of nonlinear parabolic operators, Nonlin. Anal. TMA **66** (2007) 968-1004.

[34] G. Nguetseng, M. Sango, J.L. Woukeng, Reiterated ergodic algebras and applications, Commun. Math. Phys **300** (2010) 835-876.

[35] A. Pankov, G-convergence and homogenization of nonlinear partial differential operators, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.

[36] E. Pardoux, Equations aux dérivées partielles stochastiques monotones, Thèse de Doctorat, Université Paris-Sud, 1975.

[37] S. Peszat, J. Zabczyk, Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Levy Noise. An evolution equation approach, Vol. 113, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[38] P. Razafimandimby, M. Sango, J.L. Woukeng, Homogenization of a stochastic nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation with a large reaction term: the almost periodic framework, arXiv: 1107.5428, 2011.

[39] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics, Vol. 1, Functional analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

[40] D. Revuz, M. Yor, Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion, Vol. 293, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[41] M. Sango, Asymptotic behavior of a stochastic evolution problem in a varying domain, Stochastic Anal. Appl. **20** (2002) 1331–1358.

[42] M. Sango, N. Svanstedt, J.L. Woukeng, Generalized Besicovitch spaces and application to deterministic homogenization, Nonlin. Anal. TMA **74** (2011) 351-379.

[43] M. Sango, J.L. Woukeng, Stochastic two-scale convergence of an integral functional, Asymptotic Anal. 73 (2011) 97-123.

[44] J. Simon, On the identification $H = H'$ in the Lions theorem and a related inaccuracy, Ric. Mat. **59** (2010) 245–255.

[45] J. Simon, On the existence of the pressure for solutions of the variational Navier-Stokes equations, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **1** (1999) 225–234.

[46] S.S. Sritharan, Deterministic and stochastic control of Navier-Stokes equation with linear, monotone, and hyperviscosities, Appl. Math. Optim. **41** (2000) 255-308.

[47] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes equations: Theory and numerical analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

[48] M. Valadier, A course on Young measures, Workshop di Teoria della Misura e Analisi Reale, Grado, September 19-October 2, 1993, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste, 26 suppl. (1994), 349-394.

[49] M. Valadier, Désintégration d'une mesure sur un produit, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **276** (1973) A33–A35.

[50] M. Valadier, Young measures, Methods of nonconvex analysis (A. Cellina, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math. **1446**, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990) 152-188.

[51] K. Vo-Khac, Etude des fonctions quasi-stationnaires et de leurs applications aux équations différentielles opérationnelles, Mémoire Soc. Math. France **6** (1966) 3-175.
[52] W. Wang, D. Cao, J. Duan, Effective macroscopic dynamics of stochastic partial diffenrential equations in perforated domains, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **38** (2007) 1508-1527.
[53] W. Wang, J. Duan, Homogenized dynamics of stochastic partial differential equations with dynamical boundary conditions. Commun. Math. Phys. **275** (2007) 163–186.
[54] J.L. Woukeng, Deterministic homogenization of non-linear non-monotone degenerate elliptic operators, Adv. Math. **219** (2008) 1608-1631.
[55] J.L. Woukeng, Periodic homogenization of nonlinear non-monotone parabolic operators with three time scales, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **189** (2010) 357-379.
[56] J.L. Woukeng, Homogenization of nonlinear degenerate non-monotone elliptic operators in domains perforated with tiny holes, Acta Appl. Math. **112** (2010) 35-68.
[57] J.L. Woukeng, Stability under composition of some classes of functions and applications to homogenization theory, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (2010) 1342-1345.
[58] J.L. Woukeng, Reiterated homogenization of nonlinear pseudo monotone degenerate parabolic operators, Commun. Math. Anal. **9** (2010) 98-129.
[59] J.L. Woukeng, Σ-convergence and reiterated homogenization of nonlinear parabolic operators, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **9** (2010) 1753-1789.
[60] S. Wright, Time-dependent Stokes flow through a randomly perforated porous medium, Asympt. Anal. **23** (2000) 257-272.
[61] A.I. Zhdanok, Gamma-compactification of measurable spaces, Siberian Math. J. **44** (2003) 463-476.
[62] V.V. Zhikov, On the two-scale convergence, J. Math. Sci. **120** (2004) 1328-1352.
[63] V.V. Zhikov, E.V. Krivenko, Homogenization of singularly perturbed elliptic operators. Matem. Zametki, **33** (1983) 571-582 (english transl.: Math. Notes, **33** (1983) 294-300).

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Dschang, P.O. Box 67, Dschang, Cameroon
E-mail address: `jwoukeng@yahoo.fr`